

CS720

Logical Foundations of Computer Science

Lecture 9: Inductive propositions

Tiago Cogumbreiro

Inductive propositions

In lectures 7 and 8 we learned to write inductive definitions that compose other propositions (eg, \wedge takes holds two propositions)

■ Think about the following statement:

A product $X \times Y$ is to a conjunction $P \wedge Q$, the same way a **list** X is to...?

■ Today we define inductive definitions that can "hold" an unbounded number of propositions.

Today we will learn...

- (recursive) inductive definitions
- implementing binary relations
- properties on binary relations

Exercise

Let us define even numbers inductively...

■ In the world of propositions, what is a signature of a number being even?

Exercise

Let us define even numbers inductively...

■ In the world of propositions, what is a signature of a number being even?

```
Inductive ev: nat → Prop
```

Exercise

Let us define even numbers inductively...

■ In the world of propositions, what is a signature of a number being even?

Inductive `ev: nat → Prop`

- 0 is even
- If n is even, then $2 + n$ is also even.

Inductively defined even

In Logic, the constructors `ev_0` and `ev_SS` of propositions can be called *inference rules*.

```

Inductive ev: nat → Prop :=
  (* Rule 1: *)
  | ev_0:
    ev 0
  (* Rule 2: *)
  | ev_SS: forall n,
    ev n →
    (*-----*)
    ev (S (S n)).
  
```

Which can be typeset as an inductive definition with the following notation:

$$\frac{}{\text{ev}(0)} \text{ev_0} \qquad \frac{\text{ev}(n)}{\text{ev}(S(S(n)))} \text{ev_SS}$$

Proving that 4 is even

$$\frac{}{\text{ev } 0} \text{ ev_0}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{ev } 2} \text{ ev_SS}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{ev } 4} \text{ ev_SS}$$

Backward style: From `ev_SS` we can conclude that 4 is even, if we can show that 2 is even, which follows from `ev_SS` and the fact that 0 is even (by `ev_0`).

Forward style: From the fact that 0 is even (`ev_0`), we use theorem `ev_SS` to show that 2 is even; so, applying theorem `ev_SS` to the latter, we conclude that 4 is even.

Goal ev 4.

Proof. (** backward style proof **)

apply eq_SS.

apply eq_SS.

apply ev_0.

Qed.

Goal ev 4.

Proof. (** forward style proof **)

apply (ev_SS 2 (ev_SS 0 ev_0)).

Qed.

Reasoning about inductive propositions

Theorem `evSS` : forall n,
ev (S (S n)) → ev n.

(Done in class.)

Example

Goal ~ ev 3.

(Done in class.)

Proofs by induction

Goal forall n, ev n \rightarrow ~ ev (S n).

(Done in class.)

Proofs by induction

Goal forall n, ev n \rightarrow \sim ev (S n).

(Done in class.)

Notice the difference between induction on n and on judgment $ev\ n$.

Relations in Coq

```

Inductive le : nat → nat → Prop :=
| le_n :
  forall n,
  le n n

| le_S :
  forall n m,
  le n m →
  le n (S m).
Notation "n ≤ m" := (le n m).

```

$$\frac{}{n \leq n} \text{le_n} \qquad \frac{n \leq m}{n \leq S m} \text{le_S}$$

Exercise

Goal $3 \leq 6$.

Less-than

Definition $lt (n m : nat) := le (S n) m$.

How do we prove that this definition is correct?

Less-than

Definition $lt (n m : nat) := le (S n) m$.

How do we prove that this definition is correct?

Goal $n \leq m \leftrightarrow lt\ n\ m \vee n = m$.

Less-than

■ How can we define Less-Than inductively?

Less-than

How can we define Less-Than inductively?

```

Inductive lt : nat → nat → Prop :=
| lt_base :
  forall n,
  lt n (S n)

| lt_S :
  forall n m,
  lt n m →
  lt n (S m).
Notation "n < m" := (lt n m).
  
```

How do we prove that this definition is correct?

Exercises on Less-Than

■ Prove that

1. $<$ is transitive
2. $<$ is irreflexive
3. $<$ is asymmetric
4. $<$ is decidable