

# CS450

## Structure of Higher Level Languages

Lecture 21: Loops and monads

Tiago Cogumbreiro

# Writing recursive code with monads

# Run a monad n-times

```
(: repeat
  (All [State Result]
    (->
      ; Given a number n
      Real
      ; And an effectful operation
      (eff-op State Result)
      ; Builds a list of the first n-results
      (eff-op State (Listof Result))
    )
  )
)
```

# Run a monad n-times

```
(define (repeat n o)
  ; You will need to add a typing annotation to your loop
  (: repeat-iter (-> (Listof Result) Real (eff-op State (Listof Result))))
  (define (repeat-iter accum n)
    (cond
      ; We must reverse the list, because cons adds to the left
      [(<= n 0) (eff-pure (reverse accum))]
      [else
       (eff-bind o ; Run effectful operation
                 (lambda ( [x : Result] ) ; Keep its result as x
                   (repeat-iter (cons x accum) (- n 1))))
        ])
    )
  )
  ; Run the loop n-times
  (repeat-iter (list) n)
)
```

# Run a monad n-times (with do-notation)

```
(define (repeat n o)
  (: repeat-iter (-> (Listof Result) Real (eff-op State (Listof Result))))
  (define (repeat-iter accum n)
    (cond
      [(<= n 0) (eff-pure (reverse accum))]
      [else
       (do
          ; run o assign result to x
          x : Result <- o
          ; run the rest
          (repeat-iter (cons x accum) (- n 1))
        )
      ]
    )
  )
  (repeat-iter (list) n)
)
```

# Sum the results of a list of monads

```
(: sum
  (All [State]
    (->
      ; Given a list of effectful ops
      (Listof (eff-op State Real))
      ; Add all of the results
      (eff-op State Real)
    )
  )
)
```

# Sum the results of a list of monads

Without accumulator = more typing information

```
(define (sum l)
  (match l
    [(list) (eff-pure 0)]
    [(list h l ...)
     (eff-bind h
      (lambda ([h-val : Real]) : (eff-op State Real)
       (eff-bind (sum l)
        (lambda ([l-val : Real]) : (eff-op State Real)
         (eff-pure (+ h-val l-val)))))))]))
```

# Sum the results of a list of monads

Without accumulator = more typing information

```
(define (sum l)
  (match l
    [(list) (eff-pure 0)]
    [(list h l ...)
     (do
      (h-val : Real <- h)
      (l-val : Real <- (sum l))
      (ann (eff-pure (+ h-val l-val)) (eff-op State Real))))]))
```

Without the annotation you would get this error, notice the occurrence of `Any`:

Argument 2:

Expected: `(-> Input (-> State (eff State Output)))`

Given: `(-> Real (-> Any (eff Any Real)))`





# Sum the results of a list of monads

## With accumulator

```
(define (sum l)
  (: sum-iter (-> Real (Listof (eff-op State Real)) (eff-op State Real)))
  (define (sum-iter accum l)
    (match l
      [(list) (eff-pure accum)]
      [(list h l ...)
       (do
          h-val : Real <- h
          (sum-iter (+ h-val accum) l)
        )
      ]
    )
  )
  (sum-iter 0 l))
```

# Notes when writing recursive code

- Accumulator functions are preferred, as the typing information is more obvious
- Accumulators of type list may need to be reversed before returning to satisfy ordering
- Writing more "natural" recursive patterns leads to typing complications
- You can use `(ann expression type)` when the type-checker complains about `Any`
- **Compare the two solutions of `sum` presented in this class with your solution used in HW2** this gives you the blue-print to solve the last 2 exercises of the homework assignment.

# Error handling

# Recall our interpreter from HW3

```
(define (r:eval-builtin sym)
  (cond [(equal? sym '+) +]
        [(equal? sym '*) *]
        [(equal? sym '-') -]
        [(equal? sym '/') /]
        [else #f]))

(define (r:eval-exp exp)
  (cond
    [(r:number? exp) (r:number-value exp)]
    [(r:variable? exp) (r:eval-builtin (r:variable-name exp))]
    [(r:apply? exp)
     ((r:eval-exp (r:apply-func exp))
      (r:eval-exp (first (r:apply-args exp)))
      (r:eval-exp (second (r:apply-args exp))))]
    [else (error "Unknown expression:" exp)]))
```

# Consider the following example

What happens if we run this example?

```
(r:eval-exp 10)
```

# Consider the following example

What happens if we run this example?

```
(r:eval-exp 10)
```

```
;Unknown expression: 10
```

```
; context...:
```

The caller should be passing an AST, not a number!

We should be using contracts to avoid this kind of error!

# Consider the following example

What happens if the user tries to divide a number by zero?

```
(r:eval-exp (r:apply (r:variable '/') (list (r:number 1) (r:number 0))))
```

# Consider the following example

What happens if the user tries to divide a number by zero?

```
(r:eval-exp (r:apply (r:variable '/') (list (r:number 1) (r:number 0))))
```

```
; /: division by zero  
; context...
```

Is this considered an error?



# How can we solve this problem?

# How can we solve this problem?

What does the error mean?

■ Is this a user error? Or is this an implementation error?

# How can we solve this problem?

What does the error mean?

■ Is this a user error? Or is this an implementation error?

Is it an implementation problem?

■ **Implementation errors should be loud!** We want our code to crash during testing. This family of errors could correspond to a bug, or, more importantly, to a misunderstanding between the developer and the client! Using the exceptions model of our client is a big plus, as we get stack trace information, among other niceties.

# How can we solve this problem?

What does the error mean?

■ Is this a user error? Or is this an implementation error?

Is it an implementation problem?

■ **Implementation errors should be loud!** We want our code to crash during testing. This family of errors could correspond to a bug, or, more importantly, to a misunderstanding between the developer and the client! Using the exceptions model of our client is a big plus, as we get stack trace information, among other niceties.

Is it a user error?

■ User errors must be handled **gracefully** and **cannot** crash our application. User errors must also not reveal the internal state of the code (**no stack traces!**), as such information can pose a security threat.

# Handling run-time errors

# Solving the division-by-zero error

1. We can implement a safe-division that returns a special return value
2. We can let Racket crash and catch the exception

# Implementing safe division

- Implement a safe-division that returns a special return value

# Implementing safe division

Implement a safe-division that returns a special return value

```
(define (safe-/ x y)
  (cond [(= y 0) #f]
        [else (/ x y)]))
```



Is this enough?

# Is this enough?

```
(r:eval-exp
  (r:apply
    (r:variable '+)
    (list
      (r:apply (r:variable '/') (list (r:number 1) (r:number 0)))
      (r:number 10))))
; +: contract violation
;   expected: number?
;   given: #f
;   argument position: 1st
;   [,bt for context]
```

We still need to rewrite `r:eval-exp` to handle `#f`

# Solving apply

■ (Demo...)

# Solving apply

## (Demo...)

```
(: r:eval-exp (-> r:expression (Option Real)))
(define (r:eval-exp exp)
  (match exp
    ; If it's a number, return that number
    [(r:number v) v]
    ; If it's a function with 2 arguments
    [(r:apply (r:variable f) arg1 arg2)
     (define func (r:eval-builtin f))
     (define a1 (r:eval-exp arg1))
     (cond [(false? a1) #f]
           [else
            (define a2 (r:eval-exp arg2))
            (cond [(false? a2) #f]
                  [else
                   (func a1 a2)]]])])])])])
```