

Structure of Higher Level Languages Lecture 1: Course info, arithmetic in Racket, evaluation Tiago Cogumbreiro

About the course

• Instructor: Tiago (蒂亚戈) Cogumbreiro (he/him)

How to reach me

- Office hours in person (have priority), or remotely via Zoom
- Announcements in #cs450-news (Discord)
- **Q&A** in #cs450 (Discord)



How we are doing remote teaching

- Open door policy, via Discord.
 - Message me at any time, any day with your questions.
 - Channel questions answered first, direct-messages answered second.
 - I reply as soon as possible, during office hours in the latest.
- Homework assignments we use a grading server (Gradescope)

Course webpage

cogumbreiro.github.io/teaching/cs450/s23/





cogumbreiro.github.io/teaching/cs450/s23/syllabus.pdf

Α	A -	B +	В	B -	C +	С	С-	D +	D	D -	F	
10095	9490	8985	8480	7975	7470	6965	5955	5450	4945	4440	390	

- Course divided into 9 modules
- 1 homework assignment per module
- Final grade: 90% homework + 10% participation

Important: Course structure is slightly in flux; I may split 1 module/hw into 2.

- Homework grade: average of 9 assignments (possibly weighted)
- *Participation grade: in-class quizzes*, attendance classroom/online, participation in forum
- To get D- (C-) you need to have at least 7 assignments with D- (C-)



Academic dishonesty

Plagiarism in University

Copying code from others is wrong because:

- you do not learn
- you risk being expelled
- you are risking the other person being expelled
- you risk not completing your degree
- you risk being put on a list of cheaters (other universities may reject your application)



Plagiarism in the Industry

Is wrong, because:

- it is illegal
- you risk being dismissed from employment
- you risk being sued



Copying code (when it is right)

- software licenses define clear rules on how you can copy, use, and change other people's code
- open source promotes sharing of code
 - attribution is important (unless public domain)
 - $\circ~$ good way to land on a job

Plagiarism in CS 450

- student's responsibility to learn the Student's code of conduct
- we use plagiarism detection (renaming functions is not enough)
- we compare against solutions from past years (and instructor)
- be careful when working with others, any sharing code may trigger
- the plagiarism detection tool can detect code sharing among students



Plagiarism in CS 450

Zero Tolerance

- statistically, there will be plagiarism this semester
- if I contact you regarding plagiarism, there will be zero tolerance:
 - $\circ~$ You will get an ${\ensuremath{\hbox{\rm F}}}$ in this course
 - You will be reported to the university

If you need more time to complete an assignment, **ASK**



Course requirements

Course requirements

Checklist

- Install Racket 7.3: <u>racket-lang.org</u>
- Sign in on GitLab (invitation by email)
- Sign in on Discord, say "Hi" in **#cs450-lounge** (invitation link in the GitLab page)
- Sign in on Gradescope, upload the template hw1.rkt (invitation by email)

Heads up

- Please, **register using your UMB email address**, otherwise you won't be able to submit your first homework.
- The deadline of homework assignment **n** is last class of module **n** plus 1 week



Why learn

the Structure of

Higher Level Languages?

Structure of Higher Level Languages

I postponed this discussion, because I felt that you are now better suited to understand and relate to the points being made.

- Why learn the fundamental concepts in all programming languages?
- Why learn different languages?
- Why focus on functional programming?
- Why use Racket?

Disclaimer

- Most of these claims are opinions
- These will be mostly informal claims
- We are **not** trying to find the best language (or programming model)



Overview

- Languages are just tools, learn which language is amenable to what context
- The best programming language does not exist (theoretically most languages are equivalent)
- Different languages have different characteristics that favour different domains: for instance, functional languages being used in Programming Language research, C/Fortran in scientific/high-performance computing
- A programming language is a computing interface: it is crucial to understand its meaning
- The importance of first-class functions and avoiding mutation



Semantics and idioms

Why should we care about language semantics?

- A language is a computing user interface. We are learning reusable, cross-cutting patterns.
- The semantics must be unambiguous and precise. It is not a matter of personal opinion how a conditional expression works. Language features must be described unambiguously to users.
- The semantics defines a software contract. Is the bug in the client's bug, or is it in our code?
- Language idioms (patterns) are transferrable knowledge. Understanding idioms (patterns) teaches you something that can be applied across languages and technologies.



How are all languages similar?

How are all languages the same?

- **Theoretical:** Any input-output behavior implementable in language X is implementable in language Y (Church-Turing thesis), and equivalent to the λ -calculus without numbers
- Practical: Reoccurring fundamentals: variables, abstraction, recursive definitions



How are languages different?

Disclaimer

Languages are not slow/fast

- A language **implementation** is fast/slow, not the language itself
- Certain languages computational models are more amenable to implement efficiently
- Languages are user interfaces of computational models

How different languages behave in different contexts?



Why is C faster than all other languages?

Is it because C is "close to the metal?" That is, is C fast because its semantics matches the processor's semantics?



Why is C faster than all other languages?

Is it because C is "close to the metal?" That is, is C fast because its semantics matches the processor's semantics? **No!**

- Which processor? How could it match the semantics of all processors?
- Which compiler? The key of C's success lays in having good compilers.
- C compilers are fast because C is old and its interface remains stable!
- Popular C compilers are **really** good at optimizing the target language.
- There is a set of good practices to write optimizer-ready C code

Take away

The facts above make C quite successful in High Performance Computing (large scale scientific codes).

Source: <u>C Is Not a Low-level Language: Your computer is not a fast PDP-11</u>. David Chisnall. ACM Queue vol. 16, no. 2. 2018

Why is Python slow multithreading?

- CPython (the main implementation of Python) is conditioned by the GIL (the Global Interpreter Lock) which effectively serializes parallel execution
- To parallelize code we must run multiple processes, where shared memory is especially slow, which, in turn, slows down compute-bound programs

Take away

Avoid running compute-bound parallel codes in Python. Maybe choose C?

Source: Global Interpreter Lock. Python Wiki. Last edit in 2017, accessed in 2019.



Constraint language programming

We solve the equation SEND+MORE=MONEY where each letter represents a digit in Prolog using a constraint language programming module:

```
sendmore(Digits) :- % Source: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Constraint_programming
Digits = [S,E,N,D,M,O,R,E], % Create variables
Digits ins 0..9, % Associate domains to variables
S #\= 0, % Constraint: S must be different from 0
M #\= 0,
all_different(Digits), % all the elements must take different values
1000*S + 100*E + 10*N + D % Other constraints
+ 1000*M + 100*O + 10*R + E
#= 10000*M + 1000*O + 100*N + 10*E + Y,
label(Digits). % Start the search
```

Take away

Some problems are more amenable to certain programming languages.



How are languages different?

- 1. **The implementation matters:** A language implementation may be conditioned (faster/slower) in certain contexts
- 2. **The model matters:** Certain problems are simpler/more efficient to write in specific languages
- 3. **The domain matters:** A technology your business needs may only be available in some language (say TensorFlow in Python)



Why learn different languages?

Learn at least one new language every year.

Source: <u>The Pragmatic Programmer.</u> Andrew Hunt and David Thomas. 1999. Why should you care

- Deeper understanding of the differences and the similarities between languages
- Learn different approaches to the same problems
- More job opportunities
- Better technology choices (some technologies are only available in specific languages)



Why functional programming?

What is functional programming?

- Mutation is discouraged
- Higher-order functions serve as a generalization device

Why should we care?

- These features help designing correct, elegant, and efficient software
- Functional programming languages are heavily favoured by PL researchers, which means they serve as **a test bed for PL design**. Functional programming is close(r) to math formalism, thus implementation is usually simpler in functional programming languages.
- Functional programming is trendy! C++/Java/C#/Python/Javascript are all incorporating functional programming idioms.



Why should we discourage mutation?

- Simpler to reason about: no surprises passing a data-structure to functions/objects
- Concurrency-ready: read-only means no race conditions (and no locks), which leads to simpler, faster code

Who is using it?

- immutable.js for JavaScript by Facebook
- vavr, PCollections, the Scala runtime, and the Closure runtime for Java
- immer for C++
- immutable collections for .NET



Why should we use higher-order functions?

- Simpler interface than objects (which method? which order?)
- Can be combined effectively (frameworks on combining functions)



A researcher's Petri Dish

Most programming languages features started out in functional programming languages.

- Garbage collection (LISP, 1959)
- Generics (Hindley-Milner-Damas type system 1969/1978, implemented in ML in ~1977)
- Higher-order functions (lambda expressions in C++, C#, Java, Python) introduced in LISP (1959) and in <u>ISWIM</u> (1966)
- Type inference, *e.g.*, *auto in C++*, *var in C# (Hindley-Milner-Damas)*
- Algebraic-data types and pattern matching (1970s in Hope)
- Recursion



A new wave of languages

Many new interesting programming languages

- Swift: next-generation programming language for Apple systems
- Rust: functional programming meets system programming
- F#: an ML derivate for the .NET ecosystem
- Elixir: highly-available distributed system
- Clojure: a LISP-influenced language for the JVM and the web



How are we using functional programming?

- <u>OCaml:</u> web development (Facebook/Meta), distributed systems (Docker), finance (Tezos, Jane Street, Bloomberg, Aesthetic Integration), hardware virtualization (Citrix)
- <u>Haskell:</u> verification (Facebook), distributed systems (Google), compilers (Intel), distributed systems (Microsoft)
- **Erlang:** communication (WhatsApp), ads (AddRoll), web backend (Bet365), finance (Goldman Sachs)
- **Elixir:** spam prevention (Pinterest), micro services (Lonely Planet)
- **F#:** data analysis (Kaggle), trading (Credit Suisse), gaming backend (GameSys)
- **<u>Racket</u>** game scripting (Naughty Dog), image processing (YouPatch)
- <u>Scala</u> middleware (Twitter), database (Netflix), microservices (Tumblr), web (The Guardian)

Honorable mentions

• <u>ReasonML</u>, <u>Elm</u>, <u>PureScript</u>, <u>ClojureScript</u>



Course overview

This course is **NOT**...

• on algorithms

For a nice free book read <u>Algorithms</u> by Jeff Erickson.

an introduction on programming and computing

For a nice free book read <u>How to design programs</u> by Matthias Felleisen, Robert Bruce Findler, Matthew Flatt, Shriram Krishnamurthi

on programming with Racket

For a nice free book read <u>The Racket Guide</u> by Matthew Flatt, Robert Bruce Findler, and PLT



This course is...

- on designing programming language features
 We will focus mainly on functional and object-oriented programming.
- on semi-formal specification We will drive our course with precise mathematical notations and tests.
- **on programming patterns** We will characterize patterns and study abstractions of these patterns.
- on purely functional programming

We will approach programming without using assignment (mutation).



Today we will learn

- a formalism to describe a programming language (Racket)
- the semantics of a programming language

How we will learn it

We introduce one language feature at a time

- 1. Syntax: We formalize each language feature (What)
- 2. **Example:** We illustrate a feature with an example
- 3. Semantics: We introduce how each language feature works (How)



Semantics

- Abstract *Syntax:* how we write something. Example, which characters/string we use write a keyword, or a number.
- **Semantics:** what that something does/means (evaluation here means as the program runs)
- In this class, we focus on the **semantics** of programming languages. We define the semantics of some programming language features.



1. We shall **not** print to output! Instead, we will use **assertions**. 2. We shall **not** mutate variables! Instead, we will use *persistent data structures*. 3. We shall **not** use loops! Instead, we will use *recursion*.

Your first program



In Racket, **everything evaluates down to or is a value**. A Racket program consists of a preamble followed by zero or more expressions:

program = #lang racket expression*

- 1. Racket has no end-of-sentence delimiters (contrary to, say, C-like languages which use semi-colons)
- 2. Racket evaluates each expression from top-to-bottom, left-to-right
- For space-constraint reasons, code listings might omit the preamble.

Language specification

- Grayed out text represents the concrete syntax
- Italic text represents a meta-variable





Expressions can be values, among other things

expression = value | ···



Values

• Numbers

- Void
- Booleans
- Lists
-



Numbers

Numbers

All numbers are complex numbers. Some of them are real numbers, and all of the real numbers that can be represented are also rational numbers, except for +inf.0 (positive infinity), +inf.f (single-precision variant), -inf.0 (negative infinity), -inf.f (single-precision variant), +nan.0 (not-a-number), and +nan.f (single-precision variant). Among the rational numbers, some are integers, because round applied to the number produces the same number.

Source: Racket Manual, Section 4.2



Hello, Numbers!

Your first Racket program

#lang racket	<pre>\$ racket nums.rkt</pre>		
10 ; A positive number	10		
+10 ; The plus sign is optional	10		
-10 ; A negative number	-10		
0+1i ; A complex number	0+1i		
1/3 ; A rational number	1/3		
0.33 ; A floating-point number	0.33		

Note: a semi-colon (;) initiates a comment section, which is ignored in Racket. A semi-colon is **not** a end-of-line marker, like in C-like languages.

UMass Boston

CS450 $\)$ Course info, arithmetic in Racket, evaluation $\)$ Lecture 1 $\)$ Tiago Cogumbreiro

Expressions are separated by white-space

These two programs are equal:

#lang	racket
10	
+10	
-10	
0+1i	
1/3	
0.33	

Caveats: -1 is different than - 1 (notice the white space in between both characters). The former is the negative one, the latter is the expression - and the value 1. Similarly, 1/3 is a single rational number, whereas 1 / 3 are three expressions.

#lang racket 10 +10 -10

0+1i 1/3 0.33



Function calls

Function call

Delimited by parenthesis and its constituents are separated by white-space characters. The first expression must evaluate to a function, the remaining expressions are the arguments. Each expression is evaluated to a value from left-to-right before applying the function.

expression = value | variable | function-call | ···
function-call = (expression-func expression-arg*)

For instance, function call (expt 2 3), for exponentiation, returns 2 raised to the power of 3. Function sin computes the sine function of its sole argument.

#lang racket	<pre>\$ racket nums-func.rkt</pre>
(expt 2 3)	8
(sin (expt 2 3))	0.9893582466233818

Note: Function calls can be compounded, as the parameters of a function are arguments too.



No infix notation in Racket

There is **NO INFIX NOTATION** for arithmetic operations (unlike most languages).

The usual arithmetic operations are all just variables: addition +, subtraction -, multiplication *, division /.

Example:

```
( * 3.14159 ( * 10 10))
| | | | | | -> Number
| | | | | -> Number
| | | | | -> Variable
| | | -> Function call
| | -> Variable
| -> Function call
```

Note: In Racket parenthesis represent function application. Contrasted with most C-like languages where parenthesis in expressions are optional and only there to help the store reader.

Evaluating a function call

Evaluation works from left-to-right from top-to-bottom

#racket lang
; Version 1:
(* 3.14159 (* 10 10))
; Version 2:
(* 3.14159 100)
; ^^^- Evaluated (* 10 10)
; Version 3:
314.159
;^^^^^ Evaluated (* 3.14159 * 100)



Evaluating a function call

Evaluating a function call

Evaluation works from left-to-right from top-to-bottom

#racket lang
; Version 1:
(* 3.14159 (* 10 10))
; Version 2:
(* 3.14159 100)
; ^^^- Evaluated (* 10 10)
; Version 3:
314.159
;^^^^^ Evaluated (* 3.14159 * 100)



Arithmetic expressions example

$$\left((11\cdot 15)+(14+4)
ight)+\left(rac{3}{9}-(14\cdot 3)
ight)$$



Arithmetic expressions example

$$((11 \cdot 15) + (14 + 4)) + (\frac{3}{9} - (14 \cdot 3))$$
 (+
(+
(* 11 15)
(+ 14 4))
(-
(* 14 3)))



A longer example





A longer example





What would happen if we call a function using the infix notation?

(3 / 9)



What would happen if we call a function using the infix notation?

(3 / 9)

- ; application: not a procedure;
- ; expected a procedure that can be applied to arguments
- ; given: 3
- ; [,bt for context]



What would happen if we call a function using the infix notation?

(3 / 9)

```
; application: not a procedure;
```

- ; expected a procedure that can be applied to arguments
- ; given: 3
- ; [,bt for context]

Line 1

The *subject* is application. Application is short for function application, aka *calling a function*.

The **symptom** is not a procedure. Something that should be a procedure is not. Recall, procedure = **function**.



What would happen if we call a function using the infix notation?

(3 / 9)

```
; application: not a procedure;
```

- ; expected a procedure that can be applied to arguments
- ; given: 3
- ; [,bt for context]

Line 1

Line 2

The *subject* is application. Application is Calling a function requires a function, but we short for function application, aka **calling a** provided something else. *function*.

The **symptom** is not a procedure. Something that should be a procedure is not. Recall, procedure = **function**.

What would happen if we call a function using the infix notation?

(3 / 9)

```
application: not a procedure;
```

- expected a procedure that can be applied to arguments
- given: 3
- [, bt for context]

Line 1

The *subject* is application. Application is short for function application, aka **calling a** provided something else. function.

The **symptom** is not a procedure. Something that should be a procedure is not. Recall, procedure = function.

Line 2

Calling a function requires a function, but we

Line 3

We see what was given instead (number 3, rather than a function). UMass

Boston

Is this example a legal Racket program?

#lang racket
sin



Is this example a legal Racket program?

#lang racket
sin

Yes! sin is a variable, so a valid expression. Hence, Racket just prints what is in variable sin. \$ racket sin.rkt #<procedure:sin>

Note: In Racket lingo the word *procedure* is a synonym for function.



Racket specification

```
program = #lang racket expression*
expression = value | variable | function-call | ···
value = number | ···
function-call = ( expression+ )
```

