

CS450

Structure of Higher Level Languages

Lecture 4: Nested definitions, tail-call optimization

Tiago Cogumbreiro

A quick recap...

User data-structures

We can represent data-structures using pairs/lists.
For instance, let us build a 3-D point data type.

```
; Constructor
(define (point x y z) (list x y z))
(define (point? x)
  (and (list? x)
        (= (length x) 3)))
; Accessors
(define (point-x pt) (first pt))
(define (point-y pt) (second pt))
(define (point-z pt) (third pt))
```

- a default constructor with the name of the type and its fields as parameters
- one accessor per field
- function `point?` returns true if, and only if, the given value is a point (Exercise 3 of HW1)

Quoting exercises:

- You can serialize any code (even non-valid Racket programs) as long: (1) literals follow Racket's rules (numbers, strings, identifiers) and (2) parenthesis are well balanced
- We can write `'term` rather than `(quote term)`
- How do we serialize term `(lambda (x) x)` with `quote`?
- How do we serialize term `(+ 1 2)` with `quote`?
- How do we serialize term `(cond [(> 10 x) x] [else #f])` with `quote`?
- *Can we serialize a syntactically invalid Racket program?*

Quoting exercises:

- You can serialize any code (even non-valid Racket programs) as long: (1) literals follow Racket's rules (numbers, strings, identifiers) and (2) parenthesis are well balanced
- We can write `'term` rather than `(quote term)`
- How do we serialize term `(lambda (x) x)` with `quote`?
- How do we serialize term `(+ 1 2)` with `quote`?
- How do we serialize term `(cond [(> 10 x) x] [else #f])` with `quote`?
- *Can we serialize a syntactically invalid Racket program? No!* You would not be able to serialize this expression `(`. Quote only accepts a S-expressions (parenthesis must be well-balanced, identifiers must be valid Racket identifiers, number literals must be valid).
- *Can we serialize an invalid Racket program?*

Quoting exercises:

- You can serialize any code (even non-valid Racket programs) as long: (1) literals follow Racket's rules (numbers, strings, identifiers) and (2) parenthesis are well balanced
- We can write `'term` rather than `(quote term)`
- How do we serialize term `(lambda (x) x)` with `quote`?
- How do we serialize term `(+ 1 2)` with `quote`?
- How do we serialize term `(cond [(> 10 x) x] [else #f])` with `quote`?
- *Can we serialize a syntactically invalid Racket program? **No!*** You would not be able to serialize this expression `(`. `Quote` only accepts a S-expressions (parenthesis must be well-balanced, identifiers must be valid Racket identifiers, number literals must be valid).
- *Can we serialize an invalid Racket program? **Yes.*** For instance, try to quote the term: `(lambda)`

Quote example

```

#lang racket
(require rackunit)
(check-equal? 3 (quote 3)) ; Serializing a number returns the number itself
(check-equal? 'x (quote x)) ; Serializing a variable named x yields symbol 'x
(check-equal? (list '+ 1 2) (quote (+ 1 2))) ; Serialization of function as a list
(check-equal? (list 'lambda (list 'x) 'x) (quote (lambda (x) x)))
(check-equal? (list 'define (list 'x)) (quote (define (x))))
  
```

On HW1 Exercise 4

- The input format of the quoted term are **precisely** described in the slides of Lecture 3
- You do **not** need to test recursively if the terms in the body of a function declaration or definition are valid.

For instance,

```
function-def = ( lambda ( variable* ) term+ )
```

- A list, with one symbol `lambda` followed by zero or more symbols, and one or more terms.

Today we will...

1. Learn about a good use of nested definitions
2. Analyse some code's performance
3. Introduce tail-call optimization

Acknowledgment: Today's lecture is inspired by Professor Dan Grossman's wonderful lecture in CSE341 from the University of Washington. (Video available).

Build a list from 1 up to n

Our goal is to build a list from 1 up to some number. Here is a template of our function and a test case for us to play with. For the sake of simplicity, we will not handle non-positive numbers.

```
#lang racket
(define (countup-from1 x) #f)

(require rackunit)
(check-equal? (list 1) (countup-from1 1))
(check-equal? (list 1 2) (countup-from1 2))
(check-equal? (list 1 2 3 4 5) (countup-from1 5))
```

Hint: write a helper function `count` that builds counts from `n` up to `m`.

Exercise 1: attempt #1

We write a helper function `count` that builds counts from `n` up to `m`.

```
#lang racket
(define (countup-from1 x)
  (count 1 x))

(define (count from to)
  (cond
    [(= from to) (list to)]
    [else (cons from (count (+ 1 from) to))]))
```

Exercise 1: attempt #2

We move function `count` to be internal to function `countup-from1`, as it is a helper function and therefore it is good practice to make it *private* to `countup-from1`.

```
(define (countup-from1 x)
  ; Internally defined function, not visible from
  ; the outside
  (define (count from to)
    (cond [(equal? from to) (list to)]
          [else (cons from (count (+ 1 from) to))]))
  ; The same call as before
  (count 1 x))
```

When to nest functions

Nest functions:

- If they are unnecessary outside
- If they are under development
- If you want to hide them: **Every function in the public interface of your code is something you'll have to maintain!**

Intermission: Nested definitions

Nested definition: local variables

Nested definitions bind a variable within the body of a function and are only visible within that function (these are local variables)

```
#lang racket
(define (f x)
  (define z 3)
  (+ x z))
```

```
(+ 1 z) ; Error: z is not visible outside function f
```

Nested definitions shadow other variables

■ Nested definitions silently shadow any already defined variable

```

#lang racket
(define z 10)
(define (f x)
  (define x 3) ; Shadows parameter
  (define z 20) ; Shadows global
  (+ x z))

(f 1) ; Outputs 23
  
```


No redefined local variables

It is an error to re-define local variables

```
#lang racket
(define (f b)
  ; OK to shadow a parameter
  (define b (+ b 1))
  (define a 1)
  ; Not OK to re-define local variables
  ; Error: define-values: duplicate binding name
  (define a (+ a 1))
  (+ a b))
```

Back to Exercise 1

Exercise 1: attempt #2

Notice that we have some redundancy in our code. In function `count`, parameter `to` remains unchanged throughout execution.

```
(define (countup-from1 x)
  ; Internally defined function, not visible from
  ; the outside
  (define (count from to)
    (cond [(equal? from to) (list to)]
          [else (cons from (count (+ 1 from) to))]))
  ; The same call as before
  (count 1 x))
```

Exercise 1: attempt #3

We removed parameter `to` from function `count` as it was constant throughout the execution. Variable `to` is captured/copied when `count` is defined.

```
(define (countup-from1 to)
  ; Internally defined function, not visible from
  ; the outside
  (define (count from)
    (cond [(equal? from to) (list to)]
          [else (cons from (count (+ 1 from)))]))
  ; The same call as before
  (count 1))
```

Example 1: summary

- Use a nested definition to hide a function that is only used internally.
- Nested definitions can refer to variables defined outside the scope of their definitions.
- The last expression of a function's body is evaluated as the function's return value

Example 2

Maximum number from a list of integers

Example 2: attempt 1

Finding the maximum element of a list.

```
#lang racket
(define (max xs)
  (cond
    [(empty? xs) (error "max: expecting a non-empty list!")]
    [(empty? (rest xs)) (first xs)] ; The list only has one element (the max)
    [(> (first xs) (max (rest xs))) (first xs)] ; The max of the rest is smaller than 1st
    [else (max (rest xs))])) ; Otherwise, use the max of the rest

; A simple unit-test
(require rackunit)
(check-equal? 10 (max (list 1 2 10 4 0)))
```

We use function `error` to abort the program with an exception. We use functions `first` and `rest` as synonyms for `car` and `cdr`, as it reads better.

Example 2: attempt 1

■ Finding the maximum element of a list.

Let us benchmark `max` with sorted list (worst-case scenario):

- 20 elements: 18.43ms
- 21 elements: 36.63ms
- 22 elements: 75.78ms

■ Whenever we add an element we double the execution time. Why?

Example 2: attempt 1

Whenever we hit the else branch (because we can't find the maximum), we re-compute the max element.

```
(define (max xs)
  (cond
    [(empty? xs) (error "max: expecting a non-empty list!")]
    [(empty? (rest xs)) (first xs)] ; The list only has one element (the max)
    [(> (first xs) (max (rest xs))) (first xs)] ; The max of the rest is smaller than 1st
    [else (max (rest xs))])) ; Otherwise, use the max of the rest
```

Example 2: attempt 2

■ We use a local variable to cache a duplicate computation.

```
(define (max xs)
  (cond
    [(empty? xs) (error "max: expecting a non-empty list!")]
    [(empty? (rest xs)) (first xs)]
    [else
     (define rest-max (max (rest xs))) ; Cache the max of the rest
     (cond
       [(> (first xs) rest-max) (first xs)]
       [else rest-max])]))
```

- Attempt #1: 20 elements in 75.78ms
- Attempt #2: 1,000,000 elements in 101.15ms

Example 2 takeaways

- Use nested definitions to cache intermediate results
- Identify repeated computations and cache them in nested (local) definitions

Example 2: attempt 3

```

(define (max xs) =
  ; The maximum between two numbers
  (define (max2 x y) (cond [(< x y) y] [else x]))
  ; Accumulate the maximum number as a parameter of recursion
  (define (max-aux curr-max xs)
    ; Get the max between the accumulated and the first
    (define new-max (max2 curr-max (first xs)))
    (cond
      [(empty? (rest xs)) new-max] ; Last element is max
      [else (max-aux new-max (rest xs))])) ; Otherwise, recurse
  ; Only test if the list is empty once
  (cond
    [(empty? xs) (error "max: empty list")]
    [else (max-aux (first xs) xs)]))

```

Comparing both attempts

	<i>Element count</i>	<i>Execution time</i>	<i>Increase</i>
Attempt #2	1,000,000	101.15ms	
Attempt #3	1,000,000	20.98ms	4.8× speedup
Attempt #2	10,000,000	1410.06ms	
Attempt #3	10,000,000	237.66ms	5.9× speedup

Why is attempt #3 so much faster?

Because attempt #3 is being target of a Tail-Call optimization!

Call stack & Activation frame

- **Call Stack:** To be able to call and return from functions, a program internally maintains a stack called the *call-stack*, each of which holds the execution state at the point of call.
- **Activation Frame:** An activation frame maintains the execution state of a running function. That is, the activation frame represents the local state of a function, it holds the state of each variable.
- **Push:** When calling a function, the caller creates an activation frame that is used by the called function (eg, to pass arguments to the function being called).
- **Pop:** Before a function returns, it pops the call stack, freeing its local state.

Consider executing the factorial

Program

```
(define (fact n)
  (cond
    [(= n 1) 1]
    [else
     (* n (fact (- n 1)))]))
```

Evaluation

```
(fact 3)
(* 3 (fact 2))
(* 3 (* 2 (fact 1)))
(* 3 (* 2 1))
(* 3 2)
6
```

Call-Stack

```
[n=3,return=( * 3 (fact 2) )]
[n=3,return=( * 3 ? )],[n=2,return=( * 2 (fact 1) )]
[n=3,return=( * 3 ? )],[n=2,return=( * 2 ? )],[n=1,return=1]
[n=3,return=( * 3 ? )],[n=2,return=2]
[n=3,return=6]
```

Call-stack and recursive functions

Recursive functions pose a problem to this execution model, as **the call-stack may grow unbounded!** Thus, most non-functional programming languages are conservative on growing the call stack.

```
def fact(n):  
    return 1 if n ≤ 1 else n * fact(n - 1)  
fact(1000)
```

Outputs

```
File "<stdin>", line 1, in fact  
RuntimeError: maximum recursion depth exceeded  
,
```


Factorial: attempt #2

Program

```
(define (fact n)
  (define (fact-iter n acc)
    (cond
      [(= n 0) acc]
      [else
       (fact-iter (- n 1) (* acc n)) ]))
  (fact-iter n 1))
(fact 3)
```

Evaluation

```
(fact 3)
(fact-iter 3 1)
(fact-iter 2 3)
(fact-iter 1 6)
6
```

Factorial: attempt #2

Call stack

```

[n=3,return=(fact-iter 3 1)]
[n=3,return=?],[n=3,acc=1,return=(fact-iter 2 3)]
[n=3,return=?],[n=3,acc=1,return=?],[n=2,acc=3,return=(fact-iter 1 6)]
[n=3,return=?],[n=3,acc=1,return=?],[n=2,acc=3,return=?],[n=1,acc=6,return=6]
[n=3,return=?],[n=3,acc=1,return=?],[n=2,acc=3,return=6]
[n=3,return=?],[n=3,acc=1,return=6]
[n=3,return=6]
  
```

Tail position and tail call

The *tail position* of a sequence of expressions is the last expression of that sequence.

When a function call is in the tail position we named it the *tail call*.

```
(lambda ()
  exp1
  ; ...
  expn) ← tail position
```

```
(lambda ()
  exp1
  ; ...
  (f ...)) ← f is a tail call
```

Tail call and the call stack

A tail call does not need to push a new activation frame! Instead, the called function can "reuse" the frame of the current function. For instance, in `(fact 3)`, the call `(fact-iter 3 1)` is a tail call.

```
[n=3,return=(fact-iter 3 1)]
```

```
[n=3,return=?],[n=3,acc=1,return=(fact-iter 2 3)]
```

Can be rewritten with:

```
[n=3,return=(fact-iter 3 1)]
```

```
[n=3,acc=1,return=(fact-iter 2 3)]
```

In attempt #2, both calls to `fact-iter` are tail calls.

Tail-Call Optimization

- Eschews the need to allocate a new activation frame
- In a recursive tail call, the compiler can convert the recursive call into a loop, which is more efficient to run (recall our $5\times$ speedup)

Guidelines to write tail-recursive code

- Create a helper function that takes an accumulator (which stores what is calculated after the call)
- The base case of the original function becomes the initial accumulator
- The base case of the new function becomes the accumulator

Caveats

- Not all recursive functions can be optimized to be tail-recursive (eg, in tree-based algorithms when the function recurses on more than one node)
- Be wary that: *premature optimization is the root of all evils.*