

CS420

# Logical Foundations of Computer Science

Lecture 7: Mock mini-test 1

Tiago Cogumbreiro

# Today we will learn...

- Existential operator
- Mock Mini-Test 1
- Formal language
- Language operators
- Language equivalence

# From proposition to proof state

```
Goal forall (a b c:nat), a = b → b = c.
```

```
Proof.
```

```
  intros.
```

**What is the expected proof state?**

# From proposition to proof state

Goal forall (a b c:nat), a = b → b = c.

Proof.

intros.

**What is the expected proof state?**

Solution

```
1 subgoal
a, b, c : nat
H : a = b
----- (1/1)
b = c
```

- Each parameter of a theorem is an **assumption**
- Each **variable** in the forall is one parameter becomes an assumption
- Each **pre-condition** of an implication becomes an assumption
- Variables and pre-conditions are parameters

# You can name assumptions in a forall

```
Goal forall (a b c:nat) (eq_a_b: a = b),
```

```
  b = c.
```

```
Proof.
```

```
  intros.
```

**What is the expected proof state?**

# You can name assumptions in a forall

```
Goal forall (a b c:nat) (eq_a_b: a = b),
```

```
  b = c.
```

```
Proof.
```

```
  intros.
```

**What is the expected proof state?**

## Solution

```
1 subgoal
```

```
a, b, c : nat
```

```
eq_a_b : a = b
```

```
-----(1/1)  
b = c
```

- Implications are just *anonymous* parameters (name will be generated automatically)
- Think `assert (x = y)` versus `assert (Ha: x = y)`

# From proof state to proposition:

What is the lemma that originates the following proof state?

```
a, b, c: nat
```

```
P, Q: Prop
```

```
H: P → a = b
```

```
H0: Q ∨ P
```

```
H1: b = c
```

```
----- (1/1)
```

```
a = c
```

# From proof state to proposition:

What is the lemma that originates the following proof state?

```
a, b, c: nat
P, Q: Prop
H: P → a = b
H0: Q ∨ P
H1: b = c
----- (1/1)
a = c
```

## Solution 1:

```
Goal forall (a b c: nat) (P Q: Prop) (H: P → a = b) (H0: Q ∨ P) (H1: b = c), a = c.
```

## Solution 2:

```
Goal forall (a b c: nat) (P Q: Prop), (P → a = b) → (Q ∨ P) → (b = c) → a = c.
```



# Existential quantification

$$\exists x.P$$

# Existential quantification

```
Inductive ex (A : Type) (P : A → Prop) : Prop :=  
  | ex_intro : forall (x : A) (h : P x), ex P.
```

Notation:

```
exists x:A, P x
```

- To conclude a goal `exists x:A, P x` we can use tactic `exist x.` which yields `P x`.  
Alternatively, we can use `apply ex_intro.`

```
forall n, exists z, z + n = n
```

- To use a hypothesis of type `H:exists x:A, P x`, you can use `destruct H as (x,H)`, or `inversion H`

```
forall n, (exists m, m < n) → n <> 0.
```

# Defining arbitrary logical relations

# Defining less-than-equal

Inductive definition of  $\leq$

$$\frac{}{n \leq n} \text{le\_n}$$

$$\frac{n \leq m}{n \leq S m} \text{le\_S}$$

```
Inductive le : nat → nat → Prop :=  
  | le_n : forall n:nat,  
    le n n  
  | le_S : forall (n m : nat),  
    le n m →  
    le n (S m).
```

- Any pre-condition will appear above the line
- Preconditions are separated by whitespace

How do we know that less-than-equal was defined correctly?



# How do we know that less-than-equal was defined correctly?

## With theorems!

```
(* Simple tests *)
```

```
Goal  $1 \leq 1$ . Proof. Admitted.
```

```
Goal  $1 \leq 10$ . Proof. Admitted.
```

```
(* More interesting properties *)
```

```
Theorem le_is_reflexive: forall x,  
   $x \leq x$ .
```

```
Proof. Admitted. (* Proved in class *)
```

```
Theorem le_is_anti_symmetric: forall x y,  
   $x \leq y \rightarrow$   
   $y \leq x \rightarrow$   
   $x = y$ .
```

```
Proof. Admitted. (* Proved in class *)
```

```
Theorem le_is_transitive: forall x y z,  
   $x \leq y \rightarrow$   
   $y \leq z \rightarrow$   
   $x \leq z$ .
```

```
Proof. Admitted.
```

# Mock Mini-Test 1

# Q1.1

All functions defined in Coq via `Fixpoint` must terminate on all inputs.



# Q1.1

All functions defined in Coq via `Fixpoint` must terminate on all inputs.

Solution: True

**All** functions must terminate.

# Q1.2

If  $S(n + m) = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then `{reflexivity}` will solve the goal.

# Q1.2

If  $S (n + m) = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then `{reflexivity}` will solve the goal.

Solution: False

## Goal

```
forall n m,  
S (n + m) = n + S m.
```

## Proof.

```
intros.
```

```
Fail reflexivity.
```

## Abort.

# Q1.3

A **polymorphic** type is one that is parameterized by a type argument by using the universal quantifier `forall`. For instance: `forall (X:Type), list X → list X` is a polymorphic type.

# Q1.3

A **polymorphic** type is one that is parameterized by a type argument by using the universal quantifier `forall`. For instance: `forall (X:Type), list X → list X` is a polymorphic type.

Solution: True

# Q1.4

If  $E$  has type `beq_nat m n = true`, then  $E$  also has type `m = n`.

# Q1.4

If  $E$  has type `beq_nat m n = true`, then  $E$  also has type `m = n`.

Solution: False

## Goal

```
forall n m (E:Nat.eqb n m = true),  
  m = n.
```

## Proof.

```
intros.
```

```
Fail apply E.
```

## Abort.

# Q1.5

The proposition `forall n, S n <> n` is provable in Coq.



# Q1.5

The proposition `forall n, S n <> n` is provable in Coq.

Solution: True

**Goal**

```
forall n, S n <> n
```

.

**Proof.**

```
intros.
```

```
intros N.
```

```
induction n. {
```

```
  inversion N.
```

```
}
```

```
inversion N.
```

```
apply IHn.
```

```
assumption.
```

**Qed.**

# Q2.1

What is the type of the following expression?

Nat.eqb 28

# Q2.1

What is the type of the following expression?

```
Nat.eqb 28
```

**Answer:** `nat → bool`

## Q2.2

What is the type of the following expression?

`14 = 68`

## Q2.2

What is the type of the following expression?

`14 = 68`

**Answer:** Prop

# Q3.1

The proof of this goal is: EASY / BY INDUCTION / NOT PROVABLE

**forall** n, n <> S n

# Q3.1

The proof of this goal is: EASY / BY INDUCTION / NOT PROVABLE

**forall** n, n <> S n

**Answer:** induction

## Q3.2

The proof of this goal is: EASY / BY INDUCTION / NOT PROVABLE

```
forall (n m:nat), n = m  $\vee$  n <> m
```



## Q3.2

The proof of this goal is: EASY / BY INDUCTION / NOT PROVABLE

```
forall (n m:nat), n = m \ / n <> m
```

**Answer:** BY INDUCTION

# Q3.3

The proof of this goal is: EASY / BY INDUCTION / NOT PROVABLE

**forall** A B:Type, **forall** (f g: A → B), f = g → **forall** x, f x = g x

# Q3.3

The proof of this goal is: EASY / BY INDUCTION / NOT PROVABLE

```
forall A B:Type, forall (f g: A → B), f = g → forall x, f x = g x
```

**Answer:** EASY

**Goal**

```
forall A B:Type, forall (f g: A → B), f = g → forall x, f x = g x.
```

**Proof.**

```
intros.
```

```
rewrite H.
```

```
reflexivity.
```

**Qed.**

# Q3.4

The proof of this goal is: EASY / BY INDUCTION / NOT PROVABLE

**forall P : Prop, P**

# Q3.4

The proof of this goal is: EASY / BY INDUCTION / NOT PROVABLE

```
forall P : Prop, P
```

**Answer:** NOT PROVABLE

Goal

```
forall P : Prop, P.
```

Proof.

```
intros X.
```

```
Fail apply X.
```

Abort.

# Q3.5

The proof of this goal is: EASY / BY INDUCTION / NOT PROVABLE

**forall**  $n$ ,  $n+5 \leq n+6$

# Q3.5

The proof of this goal is: EASY / BY INDUCTION / NOT PROVABLE

**forall**  $n$ ,  $n+5 \leq n+6$

**Answer:** INDUCTION

# Q4.1

Prove this goal:

$H : \sim \sim P$

$H0 : P \vee \sim P$

----- (1/1)

$P$



# Q4.1

Prove this goal:

$H : \sim \sim P$

$H0 : P \ \backslash / \ \sim P$

----- (1/1)  
P

```
destruct H0. {  
  assumption.  
}  
apply H in H0.  
contradiction.
```

# Q4.2

Prove this goal:

$$H : P \rightarrow Q$$
$$H0 : P \vee \sim P$$
$$\text{-----}(1/1)$$
$$\sim P \vee Q$$

# Q4.2

Prove this goal:

$$\begin{array}{l} H : P \rightarrow Q \\ H0 : P \vee \sim P \\ \hline \sim P \vee Q \end{array} \quad (1/1)$$

```
destruct H0. {  
  apply H in H0.  
  right.  
  assumption.  
}  
left.  
assumption.
```

# Q4.3

Prove this goal:

$P, Q : \text{Prop}$

$PQ : P \rightarrow Q$

$NQ : \sim Q$

$HP : P$

----- (1/1)

False

# Q4.3

Prove this goal:

`P, Q : Prop`

`PQ : P → Q`

`NQ : ~ Q`

`HP : P`

-----(1/1)

`False`

`apply PQ in HP. contradiction.`

# Q4.4

-----<sup>(1/1)</sup>  
**forall** (A:Type) (l:list A), l = [] → l = []

## Q4.4

```
-----(1/1)  
forall (A:Type) (l:list A), l = [] → l = []
```

```
intros. assumption.
```