

CS720

Logical Foundations of Computer Science

Lecture 11: Formalizing an expression language

Tiago Cogumbreiro

Today's objectives

Programming language theory

- Introduce imperative languages
- Show an implementation of an interpreter
- Show an implementation of a compiler

Coq / HW5 skills

- Represent functions as propositions
- Proof automation

Expected background

- You have seen programming language implementation (via CS450/CS451)



IMP

```
Z := X;  
Y := 1;  
while Z ≠ 0 do  
  Y := Y * Z;  
  Z := Z - 1  
end
```

Formalizing a basic imperative language

IMP from the ground up

- Syntax
- Semantics (operational)
- Formalization

Syntax

What syntactic categories do we find in this program?

```
Z := X;  
Y := 1;  
while Z ≠ 0 do  
  Y := Y * Z;  
  Z := Z - 1  
end
```

Syntax

What syntactic categories do we find in this program?

```
Z := X;  
Y := 1;  
while Z ≠ 0 do  
  Y := Y * Z;  
  Z := Z - 1  
end
```

1. Arithmetic expressions
2. Boolean expressions
3. Commands (eg, assignments, loops)

Syntax of arithmetic

Inductive aexp : Type :=

| **ANum**: nat → aexp
| **AId**: string → aexp
| **APlus**: aexp → aexp → aexp
| **AMinus**: aexp → aexp → aexp
| **AMult**: aexp → aexp → aexp.

$$a ::= n \mid x \mid a + a \mid a - a \mid a \times a$$

- A literal n , represented as ANum, example ANum 3
- A program variable x , represented as AId, example AId "x"
- Addition represented as APlus, example APlus (ANum 1) (AId "x") to denote $1 + x$
- Subtraction represented as AMinus
- Multiplication represented as AMult

Syntax of booleans

```
Inductive bexp : Type :=
| BTrue          (* BTrue : bexp          *)
| BFalse         (* BFalse: bexp          *)
| BEq (a1 a2 : aexp) (* BEq: aexp -> aexp -> bexp *)
| BNeq (a1 a2 : aexp) (* BNeq: aexp -> aexp -> bexp *)
| BLe (a1 a2 : aexp) (* BLe: aexp -> aexp -> bexp *)
| BGt (a1 a2 : aexp) (* BGt: aexp -> aexp -> bexp *)
| BNot (b : bexp)    (* BNot: bexp -> bexp      *)
| BAnd (b1 b2 : bexp). (* BAnd: bexp -> bexp -> bexp *)
```

$b ::= \text{true} \mid \text{false} \mid a = a \mid a \neq a \mid a \leq a \mid !b \mid b \& b$

Syntax of commands

```
Inductive com : Type :=  
  | CSkip  
  | CAsgn (x : string) (a : aexp)  
  | CSeq (c1 c2 : com)  
  | CIf (b : bexp) (c1 c2 : com)  
  | CWhile (b : bexp) (c : com).
```

$c ::= \text{skip} \mid x := a \mid c; c \mid \text{if } b \text{ then } c \text{ else } c \mid \text{while } b \text{ do } c$

How do we give meaning to a language?

We show how to run it.

(Operational Semantics)

CS450 in a hurry

Evaluating expressions with an *interpreter*

Interpreter: a program that executes an abstract syntax.

```
Fixpoint aeval (st: state) (a: aexp) : nat :=  
  match a with  
  | ANum n => n  
  | AId x => st x  
  | APlus a1 a2 => (aeval st a1) + (aeval st a2)  
  | AMinus a1 a2 => (aeval st a1) - (aeval st a2)  
  | AMult a1 a2 => (aeval st a1) * (aeval st a2)  
  end.
```

```
(* x + (2 * 3) *)
```

```
Goal aeval empty_st (APlus (AId "x") (AMult (ANum 2) (ANum 3))) = 6.
```

```
Proof. reflexivity. Qed.
```



Function versus proposition

Fixpoint aeval (st:state) (a:aexp)::

match a with

| **ANum** n \Rightarrow n (* E_ANum *)

| **AId** x \Rightarrow st x (* E_AId *)

| **APlus** e1 e2 \Rightarrow (* E_APlus *)

let n1 = aeval st e1 in

let n2 = aeval st e2 in

n1 + n2

| **AMinus** e1 e2 \Rightarrow (* E_AMinus *)

let n1 = aeval st e1 in

let n2 = aeval st e2 in

n1 - n2

| **AMult** e1 e2 \Rightarrow (* E_AMult *)

let n1 = aeval st e1 in

let n2 = aeval st e2 in

n1 * n2

end.

Inductive aevalR (st:state): aexp \rightarrow nat \rightarrow Prop :=

| **E_ANum** (n : nat) : aevalR st (ANum n) n

| **E_AId** (x : string) : aevalR st (AId x) (st x)

| **E_APlus** (e1 e2 : aexp) (n1 n2 : nat) :

aevalR st e1 n1 \rightarrow

aevalR st e2 n2 \rightarrow

aevalR st (APlus e1 e2) (n1 + n2)

| **E_AMinus** (e1 e2 : aexp) (n1 n2 : nat) :

aevalR st e1 n1 \rightarrow

aevalR st e2 n2 \rightarrow

aevalR st (AMinus e1 e2) (n1 - n2)

| **E_AMult** (e1 e2 : aexp) (n1 n2 : nat) :

aevalR st e1 n1 \rightarrow

aevalR st e2 n2 \rightarrow

aevalR st (AMult e1 e2) (n1 * n2).



Typesetting proposition

```
Inductive aevalR (st:state): aexp → nat → Prop :=  
| E_ANum (n : nat) : aevalR st (ANum n) n  
| E_AId (x : string) : aevalR st (AId x) (st x)  
| E_APlus (e1 e2 : aexp) (n1 n2 : nat) :  
  aevalR st e1 n1 →  
  aevalR st e2 n2 →  
  aevalR st (APlus e1 e2) (n1 + n2)  
| E_AMinus (e1 e2 : aexp) (n1 n2 : nat) :  
  aevalR st e1 n1 →  
  aevalR st e2 n2 →  
  aevalR st (AMinus e1 e2) (n1 - n2)  
| E_AMult (e1 e2 : aexp) (n1 n2 : nat) :  
  aevalR st e1 n1 →  
  aevalR st e2 n2 →  
  aevalR st (AMult e1 e2) (n1 * n2).
```

$$\frac{}{\sigma, n \Rightarrow n}$$

$$\frac{}{\sigma, x \Rightarrow \sigma(x)}$$

$$\frac{\sigma, e_1 \Rightarrow n_1 \quad \sigma, e_2 \Rightarrow n_2}{\sigma, e_1 + e_2 \Rightarrow n_1 + n_2}$$

$$\frac{\sigma, e_1 \Rightarrow n_1 \quad \sigma, e_2 \Rightarrow n_2}{\sigma, e_1 - e_2 \Rightarrow n_1 - n_2}$$

$$\frac{\sigma, e_1 \Rightarrow n_1 \quad \sigma, e_2 \Rightarrow n_2}{\sigma, e_1 * e_2 \Rightarrow n_1 * n_2}$$

Proving correctness

Lemma `aeval_iff_aevalR` : **forall** `st a n`,
`aevalR st a n` \leftrightarrow `aeval st a = n`.

Proof.

From prop to function

```
Inductive ceval : state → com → state → Prop :=
| E_Skip : forall st,
  ceval st CSkip st
| E_Asgn  : forall st a n x,
  aevalR st a n →
  ceval st (CAsgn x a) (x !→ n ; st)
| E_Seq   : forall c1 c2 st st' st'',
  ceval st  c1 st'  →
  ceval st' c2 st'' →
  ceval st (CSeq c1 c2) st''
| E_IfTrue : forall st st' b c1 c2,
  bevalR st b true →
  ceval st c1 st' →
  ceval st (CIf b c1 c2) st'
| E_IfFalse : forall st st' b c1 c2,
  bevalR st b false →
  ceval st c2 st' →
  ceval st (CIf b c1 c2) st'
```

From prop to function

```
| E_WhileFalse : forall b st c,  
  bevalR st b false →  
  ceval st (CWhile b c) st  
| E_WhileTrue : forall st st' st'' b c,  
  bevalR st b true →  
  ceval st c st' →  
  ceval st' (CWhile b c) st'' →  
  ceval st (CWhile b c) st''
```


From prop to function

```
| E_WhileFalse : forall b st c,  
  bevalR st b false →  
  ceval st (CWhile b c) st  
| E_WhileTrue : forall st st' st'' b c,  
  bevalR st b true →  
  ceval st c st' →  
  ceval st' (CWhile b c) st'' →  
  ceval st (CWhile b c) st''
```

This cannot be implemented directly as a Coq function!