

CS720

# Logical Foundations of Computer Science

Lecture 17: Type systems

Tiago Cogumbreiro

# What is a Type System

1. **Asserts that a term is well-formed:** eg, consider a fraction represented by two integers, assert that the denominator is not a zero; eg, all functions terminate;
2. **Asserts that a term is of a given category:** eg, an expression is numeric; eg, a file-pointer is in an open state

## How does a Type System work

- Performed at compile time (a static analysis technique)
- Enforces policies to **guarantees certain properties** statically: eg, in Rust, memory is manually allocated, but **no memory is leaked**, **no data-races** errors; eg, in Java, the method of a method calls **must be known** at compile-time and the **argument-type must match the parameter-type**.

# Limitations of IMP

One of the limitations of IMP is that our expressions can only have one type:

- Boolean expressions can only appear in loops/ifs
- Assignments only accept numeric expressions (no booleans)

# Introducing data of different types

# Let us define an expression language

$t ::= \text{true} \mid \text{false} \mid \text{if } t \text{ then } t \text{ else } t \mid 0 \mid \text{succ } t \mid \text{pred } t \mid \text{iszero } t$

Example:

`if iszero (succ (succ(0))) then 0 else pred (succ(succ(0)))`

Ill-formed example:

`succ(true)`

# Values

$$\frac{}{\text{bvalue}(\mathbf{true})} \text{ (bv-true)}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{bvalue}(\mathbf{false})} \text{ (bv-false)}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{nvalue}(0)} \text{ (nv-zero)}$$

$$\frac{\text{nvalue}(v)}{\text{nvalue}(\text{succ}(v))} \text{ (nv-succ)}$$

$$\text{value}(v) := \text{bvalue}(v) \vee \text{nvalue}(v)$$

# Value or not a value?

1. `succ(if true then succ(0) else 0)`:

# Value or not a value?

1. `succ(if true then succ(0) else 0)`: Not a value.
2. `false`:



# Value or not a value?

1. `succ(if true then succ(0) else 0)`: Not a value.
2. `false`: A value.
3. `iszero(0)`:

# Value or not a value?

1. `succ(if true then succ(0) else 0)`: Not a value.
2. `false`: A value.
3. `iszero(0)`: Not a value.
4. `succ(0)`:

# Value or not a value?

1. `succ(if true then succ(0) else 0)`: Not a value.
2. `false`: A value.
3. `iszero(0)`: Not a value.
4. `succ(0)`: A value.

# Semantics

$$\frac{}{\text{if true then } t_1 \text{ else } t_2 \Rightarrow t_1} \text{(IfTrue)}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{if false then } t_1 \text{ else } t_2 \Rightarrow t_2} \text{(IfFalse)}$$

$$\frac{t_1 \Rightarrow t'_1}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \Rightarrow \text{if } t'_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3} \text{(If)}$$

$$\frac{t_1 \Rightarrow t'_1}{\text{succ}(t_1) \Rightarrow \text{succ}(t'_1)} \text{(Succ)}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{pred}(0) \Rightarrow 0} \text{(PredZero)}$$

$$\frac{\text{nvalue}(v)}{\text{pred}(\text{succ}(v)) \Rightarrow v} \text{(PredSucc)}$$

$$\frac{t \Rightarrow t'}{\text{pred}(t) \Rightarrow \text{pred}(t')} \text{(PredSucc)}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{iszero}(0) \Rightarrow \text{true}} \text{(IszeroZero)}$$

$$\frac{\text{nvalue}(v)}{\text{iszero}(\text{succ}(v)) \Rightarrow \text{false}} \text{(IszeroSucc)}$$

$$\frac{t \Rightarrow t'}{\text{iszero}(t) \Rightarrow \text{iszero}(t')} \text{(Iszero)}$$

# Reduction example (1)

```
if iszero(succ(succ(0))) then 0 else pred(succ(succ(0)))
```

# Reduction example (1)

```
if iszero(succ(succ(0))) then 0 else pred(succ(succ(0)))  
⇒ If, IszeroSucc  
if false then 0 else pred(succ(succ(0)))
```

# Reduction example (1)

`if iszero(succ(succ(0))) then 0 else pred(succ(succ(0)))`

`⇒ If, IszeroSucc`

`if false then 0 else pred(succ(succ(0)))`

`⇒ IfFalse`

`pred(succ(succ(0)))`

# Reduction example (1)

`if iszero(succ(succ(0))) then 0 else pred(succ(succ(0)))`

$\Rightarrow$  If, IszeroSucc

`if false then 0 else pred(succ(succ(0)))`

$\Rightarrow$  IfFalse

`pred(succ(succ(0)))`

$\Rightarrow$  PredSucc

`succ(0)`



# Reduction example (2)

`pred(false)`

# Reduction example (2)

`pred(false)`

■ How do we reduce now?

# Reduction example (2)

pred(false)

## How do we reduce now?

Some terms are **invalid!** These are expressions for which we want to consider to be malformed somehow.

Which means our language does not enjoy the process of ***strong progress***.

# Stuck terms

# Stuck terms

Let us define the notion of stuck.

$$\text{stuck}(t) := \neg \text{value}(t) \wedge \text{nf}(t)$$

■ Think of it as a negation of progress (which says that a term is either a value or reduces)

Example

$$\frac{\frac{}{\text{nf}(\text{pred}(\text{zero}))} \quad \frac{}{\neg \text{value}(\text{pred}(\text{zero}))}}{\text{stuck}(\text{pred}(\text{zero}))}$$

# Example: Stuck or not stuck?

1. `iszero(if true then succ(0) else 0)`

# Example: Stuck or not stuck?

1. `iszero(if true then succ(0) else 0)` Not stuck.

■ Is it a value or does it reduce?

# Example: Stuck or not stuck?

1. `iszero(if true then succ(0) else 0)` Not stuck.

■ Is it a value or does it reduce?

Reduces.

■ What does it reduce to?



# Example: Stuck or not stuck?

1. `iszero(if true then succ(0) else 0)` Not stuck.

■ Is it a value or does it reduce?

Reduces.

■ What does it reduce to?

`iszero(if true then succ(0) else 0)  $\Rightarrow$  (IfTrue) iszero(succ(0))  $\Rightarrow$  (IszeroSucc) false`

2. `if succ(0) then true else false`

# Example: Stuck or not stuck?

1. `iszero(if true then succ(0) else 0)` Not stuck.

■ Is it a value or does it reduce?

Reduces.

■ What does it reduce to?

`iszero(if true then succ(0) else 0)  $\Rightarrow$  (IfTrue) iszero(succ(0))  $\Rightarrow$  (IszeroSucc) false`

2. `if succ(0) then true else false` Stuck. Why?

# Example: Stuck or not stuck?

1. `iszero(if true then succ(0) else 0)` Not stuck.

■ Is it a value or does it reduce?

Reduces.

■ What does it reduce to?

`iszero(if true then succ(0) else 0)  $\Rightarrow$  (IfTrue) iszero(succ(0))  $\Rightarrow$  (IszeroSucc) false`

2. `if succ(0) then true else false` Stuck. Why? The if expects a boolean.

# Type system

# Type system

- A type system is a set of rules that disciplines **expression composition**.
- Our expressions can have different types: numerical or boolean
- A type system holds when an expression is of a given type

$$\vdash t: T$$

In our language our types are:

$$T ::= \text{Bool} \mid \text{Nat}$$

# Defining a Type System (1/2)

Boolean values:

$$\frac{}{\vdash \text{true} : \text{Bool}} \text{ (t-true)}$$
$$\frac{}{\vdash \text{false} : \text{Bool}} \text{ (t-false)}$$

# Defining a Type System (1/2)

Boolean values:

$$\frac{}{\vdash \mathbf{true} : \mathbf{Bool}} \text{ (t-true)}$$

$$\frac{}{\vdash \mathbf{false} : \mathbf{Bool}} \text{ (t-false)}$$

Natural values:

$$\frac{}{\vdash \mathbf{0} : \mathbf{Nat}} \text{ (t-zero)}$$

$$\frac{\vdash t : \mathbf{Nat}}{\vdash \mathbf{succ}(t) : \mathbf{Nat}} \text{ (t-succ)}$$

# Defining a Type System (1/2)

Boolean values:

$$\frac{}{\vdash \mathbf{true} : \mathbf{Bool}} \text{ (t-true)}$$

$$\frac{}{\vdash \mathbf{false} : \mathbf{Bool}} \text{ (t-false)}$$

Natural values:

$$\frac{}{\vdash 0 : \mathbf{Nat}} \text{ (t-zero)}$$

$$\frac{\vdash t : \mathbf{Nat}}{\vdash \mathbf{succ}(t) : \mathbf{Nat}} \text{ (t-succ)}$$

Composed expressions:

$$\frac{\vdash t : \mathbf{Nat}}{\vdash \mathbf{iszero}(t) : \mathbf{Bool}} \text{ (t-iszero)}$$

$$\frac{\vdash t : \mathbf{Nat}}{\vdash \mathbf{pred}(t) : \mathbf{Nat}} \text{ (t-pred)}$$



# Defining a Type System (2/2)

How do we write the rule for if?

$$\frac{\vdash t_1 : ??? \quad \vdash t_2 : ??? \quad \vdash t_3 : ???}{\vdash \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : ???} \text{(t-if)}$$

# Defining a Type System (2/2)

How do we write the rule for if?

$$\frac{\vdash t_1 : \mathbf{Bool} \quad \vdash t_2 : T \quad \vdash t_3 : T}{\vdash \mathbf{if } t_1 \mathbf{ then } t_2 \mathbf{ else } t_3 : T} \text{ (t-if)}$$

Notice how both branches have the same type!

# Examples

Example 1:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\overline{\vdash 0: \text{Nat}}}{\vdash \text{succ}(0): \text{Nat}}}{\vdash \text{succ}(\text{succ}(0)): \text{Nat}}}{\vdash \text{iszero}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(0))): \text{Bool}} \quad \frac{\overline{\vdash 0: \text{Nat}}}{\vdash 0: \text{Nat}} \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{\overline{\vdash 0: \text{Nat}}}{\vdash \text{succ}(0): \text{Nat}}}{\vdash \text{succ}(\text{succ}(0)): \text{Nat}}}{\vdash \text{pred}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(0))): \text{Nat}}}{\vdash \text{pred}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(0))): \text{Nat}}}{\vdash \text{if iszero}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(0))) \text{ then } 0 \text{ else pred}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(0))): \text{Nat}}$$

# Examples

Example 1:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\overline{\vdash 0: \text{Nat}}}{\vdash \text{succ}(0): \text{Nat}}}{\vdash \text{succ}(\text{succ}(0)): \text{Nat}} \quad \frac{\overline{\vdash 0: \text{Nat}}}{\vdash \text{succ}(0): \text{Nat}}}{\vdash \text{succ}(\text{succ}(0)): \text{Nat}}}{\vdash \text{iszero}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(0))): \text{Bool}} \quad \frac{\overline{\vdash 0: \text{Nat}}}{\vdash 0: \text{Nat}} \quad \frac{\overline{\vdash 0: \text{Nat}}}{\vdash \text{succ}(0): \text{Nat}}}{\vdash \text{succ}(\text{succ}(0)): \text{Nat}}}{\vdash \text{pred}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(0))): \text{Nat}}}{\vdash \text{if iszero}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(0))) \text{ then } 0 \text{ else pred}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(0))): \text{Nat}}$$

Example 2:

$\overline{\not\vdash \text{succ}(\text{true})}$

# Expected results

# Expected results

**Theorem.** If  $\vdash t: T$  and  $t \Rightarrow^* t'$ , then  $\neg \text{stuck}(t')$ .

■ Type soundness tells us that all well-typed programs never reach a stuck state.

- 
- Java and Scala's Type Systems are Unsound [OOPSLA16]
  - Scala with Explicit Nulls [ECOOP20]

■ remove[s] the specific source of unsoundness identified by Amin and Tate [OOPSLA16]. This class of bugs, reported in 2016 and still present in Scala and Dotty, happens due to a combination of implicit nullability and type members with arbitrary lower and upper bounds.

- Other examples: Python's *mypy* or *TypeScript*

# Expected results

**Theorem.** If  $\vdash t: T$  and  $t \Rightarrow^* t'$ , then  $\neg \text{stuck}(t')$ .

■ Type soundness tells us that all well-typed programs never reach a stuck state.

- A framework to ensure the absence of an undesired behavior
- Type system characterizes some **desired** behaviors *statically*
- Type systems rejects some programs with desired behaviors (**false positives**)
- Type soundness proves the type system rejects **undesired** behavior (no false negatives)
- Type soundness is difficult to prove, because programming languages are complicated

# Type soundness at UMB-SVL

Faial (UMB-SVL) checks the absence of data-races in CUDA programs

- Faial is **sound in theory** (proved in Cog), but **unsound in practice** due to
  - implementation bugs
  - unsupported CUDA features
- Faial is **incomplete** in theory and in practice, because we do not want to say that a program is free from bugs, when it does have bugs

```
__global__  
void saxpy(int n, float a, float *x, float *y)  
{  
    int i = blockIdx.x*blockDim.x + threadIdx.x;  
    if (i < n) y[i] = a*x[i] + y[i + 1];  
}
```

**\*\* DATA RACE ERROR \*\***

Array: y[1]  
T1 mode: W  
T2 mode: R

```
-----  
Locals      T1  T2  
-----  
threadIdx.x 1  0  
-----
```



# Progress

# Progress

**Theorem.** If  $\vdash t : T$ , then  $\text{value}(t) \vee \exists t', t \Rightarrow t'$ .

# Progress vs Strong progress

1. **Theorem (Strong Progress).**  $\text{value}(t) \vee \exists t', t \Rightarrow t'$ .
2. **Theorem (Progress).** If  $\vdash t : T$ , then  $\text{value}(t) \vee \exists t', t \Rightarrow t'$ .

What is the relation between the **progress** property defined here and the **strong progress** from SmallStep?

1. No difference
2. Progress implies strong progress
3. Strong progress implies progress
4. They are unrelated properties

# Progress vs Strong progress

1. **Theorem (Strong Progress).**  $\text{value}(t) \vee \exists t', t \Rightarrow t'$ .
2. **Theorem (Progress).** If  $\vdash t : T$ , then  $\text{value}(t) \vee \exists t', t \Rightarrow t'$ .

What is the relation between the **progress** property defined here and the **strong progress** from SmallStep?

1. No difference
2. Progress implies strong progress
3. Strong progress implies progress
4. They are unrelated properties

**Strong progress implies progress.**

# Progress (proof)

**Theorem.** If  $\vdash t : T$ , then  $\text{value}(t) \vee \exists t', t \Rightarrow t'$ .

The proof follows by induction on the derivation of the hypothesis. At each case we have that the simpler term is well typed and that the term is either a value or it reduces.

- In the case that the simpler term is a value, we use the canonical properties, to show that our goal is also a value.
- In the case that the simpler term can reduce, we use apply the reduction rule for the given term to reduce the goal.

```
Lemma bool_canonical : forall t,  
  |- t \in TBool -> value t -> bvalue t.
```

```
Lemma nat_canonical : forall t,  
  |- t \in TNat -> value t -> nvalue t.
```

# Quiz

■ Is every well-typed normal form is a value?

# Quiz

■ Is every well-typed normal form is a value?

**Yes!** A corollary of the progress theorem.

■ Is every value is a normal form?

# Quiz

■ Is every well-typed normal form is a value?

**Yes!** A corollary of the progress theorem.

■ Is every value is a normal form?

**Yes!**

■ Is the single-step reduction relation a **total** function?



# Quiz

■ Is every well-typed normal form a value?

**Yes!** A corollary of the progress theorem.

■ Is every value a normal form?

**Yes!**

■ Is the single-step reduction relation a **total** function?

No. Counter-example: reducing a value.

# Type preservation

# Type preservation

**Theorem.** If  $\vdash t : T$  and  $t \Rightarrow t'$ , then  $\vdash t' : T$ .

Type preservation establishes the robustness of our type system: a static (compile-time) abstraction is ensured in **all executions** of any accepted program. Otherwise, our type system could say an expression returns a number and upon executing that expression we find out it actually returns a boolean.

# Type preservation (proof)

**Theorem.** If  $\vdash t : T$  and  $t \Rightarrow t'$ , then  $\vdash t' : T$ .

The proof follows by induction on the derivation of the **first** hypothesis. At each case we must invert the hypothesis that the term reduces. The proof for each case is trivial, as we simply need to apply the typing rule for each term.

# Type soundness

# Type soundness

**Theorem.** If  $\vdash t : T$  and  $t \Rightarrow^* t'$ , then  $\neg \text{stuck}(t')$ .

■ Type soundness tells us that all well-typed programs never reach a stuck state.

Deterministic step

# Deterministic step

**Theorem.** If  $x \Rightarrow y_1$  and  $x \Rightarrow y_2$ , then  $y_1 = y_2$ .

Proof by induction on the derivation of the first hypothesis. At each of the 10 cases, we need to invert the second hypothesis  $x \Rightarrow y_2$ , which yields 22 cases. Use `auto` and `solve_by_invert` to take care of boring cases (8 cases should remain).

- At cases such as `ST_If` and `ST_Succ` we can simply use the induction hypothesis to rewrite the output term of reducing  $t_1$ .
- The remaining cases all follow the same structure: they reach a contradiction (remember to use `exfalso`). For instance, in the case for rule `ST_PredSucc`, we have that  $t_1$  is a nat-value and that `succ( $t_1$ )  $\Rightarrow$   $t'_1$` . We conclude by inverting the latter, and using lemma `nvalue_no_step`.