CS720

Logical Foundations of Computer Science

Lecture 15: Program verification (part 2)

Tiago Cogumbreiro

Why are we learning this?

In this class we are learning about three techniques:

- formalize the PL semantics (eg, formalize an imperative PL)
- prove PL properties (eg, composing Hoare triples)
- **verify programs** (eg, proving that an algorithm follows a given specification)



Summary

- Consequence Theorem
- Conditional Theorem
- While-Loop Theorem
- Axiomatic Hoare Logic



Theorems help us structure our proofs

```
Goal {{ (fun st : state \Rightarrow st X = 2) [X | \rightarrow X + 1] [ X | \rightarrow 1] }} X ::= 1;; X ::= X + 1 {{ fun st \Rightarrow st X = 2 }}.
```

Two alternative proofs

```
Proof.
  apply hoare_seq
    with (Q:=(fun st ⇒ st X=2)[X |→ X+1]). {
    apply hoare_asgn.
  }
  apply hoare_asgn.
Qed.
```

```
Proof.
  unfold hoare_triple.
  intros st_in st_out runs H_holds.
  invc runs.
  invc H1.
  invc H4.
  reflexivity.
Qed.
```



What if the pre-does not match H-asgn?

```
Goal \{\{ \text{ fun st} \Rightarrow \text{True } \}\}\ X := 1; X := X + 1 \{\{ \text{ fun st} \Rightarrow \text{st } X = 2 \} \}.
```



What if the pre-does not match H-asgn?

```
Goal \{\{ \text{ fun st} \Rightarrow \text{True } \}\}\ X := 1; X := X + 1 \{\{ \text{ fun st} \Rightarrow \text{st } X = 2 \} \}.
```

Provable, but not using H-asgn and H-seq.



and still use H-asgn and H-seq?

How can we prove these results

Let us build a theory on assertions

- Define A implies assertion B, notation A woheadrightarrow B, if, and only if, for any state s, $A(s) \implies B(s)$.
- Define assertion equivalence between A and B, notation $A \iff B$, if, and only if, $A(s) \iff B(s)$ for any state s.

1.
$$\{x = 3\} \rightarrow \{x = 3 \lor x \le y\}$$



- Define A implies assertion B, notation A woheadrightarrow B, if, and only if, for any state s, $A(s) \implies B(s)$.
- Define assertion equivalence between A and B, notation $A \iff B$, if, and only if, $A(s) \iff B(s)$ for any state s.

$$1.\left\{ x=3\right\} \twoheadrightarrow\left\{ x=3\vee x\leq y\right\}$$

$$2.\{x \neq x\} \to \{x = 3\}$$



- Define A implies assertion B, notation A woheadrightarrow B, if, and only if, for any state s, $A(s) \implies B(s)$.
- Define assertion equivalence between A and B, notation $A \iff B$, if, and only if, $A(s) \iff B(s)$ for any state s.

1.
$$\{x = 3\} \rightarrow \{x = 3 \lor x \le y\}$$

2.
$$\{x \neq x\} \to \{x = 3\}$$

$$3. \{x \leq y\} \iff \{x < y \lor x = y\}$$



- Define A implies assertion B, notation A woheadrightarrow B, if, and only if, for any state s, $A(s) \implies B(s)$.
- Define assertion equivalence between A and B, notation $A \longleftrightarrow B$, if, and only if, $A(s) \iff B(s)$ for any state s.

1.
$$\{x = 3\} \rightarrow \{x = 3 \lor x \le y\}$$

2.
$$\{x \neq x\} \to \{x = 3\}$$

$$\exists. \{x \leq y\} \iff \{x < y \lor x = y\}$$

$$4. \{x = 2[x \mapsto x + 1][x \mapsto 1]\} \iff \{\top\}$$



- Define A implies assertion B, notation A woheadrightarrow B, if, and only if, for any state s, $A(s) \implies B(s)$.
- Define assertion equivalence between A and B, notation $A \iff B$, if, and only if, $A(s) \iff B(s)$ for any state s.

1.
$$\{x = 3\} \rightarrow \{x = 3 \lor x \le y\}$$

$$2.\{x \neq x\} \rightarrow \{x = 3\}$$

$$\exists. \{x \leq y\} \iff \{x < y \lor x = y\}$$

$$4. \{x = 2[x \mapsto x + 1][x \mapsto 1]\} \iff \{\top\}$$

```
Goal ((fun st \Rightarrow st X = 2) [X | \rightarrow X + 1] [ X | \rightarrow 1]) \iff (fun st \Rightarrow True). Proof. unfold assn_sub, assert_implies; auto. Oed.
```



1.
$$\{\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{1}\}\ x := 1; x := x + 1 \ \{x = 2\}$$



We know that $\{\top\}$ x:=1; x:=x+1 $\{x=2\}$ holds.

1. $\{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{1}\}\ x:=1; x:=x+1\ \{x=2\}$ Holds. Strengthen pre-condition: $\{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{1}\} \twoheadrightarrow \{\top\}$



- 1. $\{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{1}\}\ x:=1; x:=x+1\ \{x=2\}$ Holds. Strengthen pre-condition: $\{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{1}\} \twoheadrightarrow \{\top\}$
- 2. $\{\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{10}\}\ x := 1; x := x + 1 \ \{x = 2\}$



- 1. $\{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{1}\}\ x:=1; x:=x+1\ \{x=2\}$ Holds. Strengthen pre-condition: $\{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{1}\} \twoheadrightarrow \{\top\}$
- 2. $\{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{10}\}$ x:=1; x:=x+1 $\{x=2\}$ Holds. Strengthen pre-condition: $\{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{10}\}$ \to $\{\top\}$



- 1. $\{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{1}\}\ x:=1; x:=x+1\ \{x=2\}$ Holds. Strengthen pre-condition: $\{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{1}\} \twoheadrightarrow \{\top\}$
- 2. $\{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{10}\}\ x:=1; x:=x+1\ \{x=2\}$ Holds. Strengthen pre-condition: $\{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{10}\} \twoheadrightarrow \{\top\}$
- 3. $\{\top\} \ x := 1; x := x + 1 \ \{x = 2 \land \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{1}\}\$



- 1. $\{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{1}\}\ x:=1; x:=x+1\ \{x=2\}$ Holds. Strengthen pre-condition: $\{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{1}\} \twoheadrightarrow \{\top\}$
- 2. $\{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{10}\}$ x:=1; x:=x+1 $\{x=2\}$ Holds. Strengthen pre-condition: $\{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{10}\}$ \to $\{\top\}$
- 3. $\{\top\}$ x:=1; x:=x+1 $\{x=2 \land \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{1}\}$ Does NOT hold. Strengthen post-condition: $\{x=2 \land \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{1}\}$ \twoheadrightarrow $\{x=2\}$



- 1. $\{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{1}\}\ x:=1; x:=x+1\ \{x=2\}$ Holds. Strengthen pre-condition: $\{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{1}\} \twoheadrightarrow \{\top\}$
- 2. $\{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{10}\}$ x:=1; x:=x+1 $\{x=2\}$ Holds. Strengthen pre-condition: $\{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{10}\}$ \to $\{\top\}$
- 3. $\{\top\}$ x:=1; x:=x+1 $\{x=2 \land \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{1}\}$ Does NOT hold. Strengthen post-condition: $\{x=2 \land \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{1}\}$ \twoheadrightarrow $\{x=2\}$
- 4. $\{\top\}$ $x := 1; x := x + 1 \{\top\}$



- 1. $\{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{1}\}\ x:=1; x:=x+1\ \{x=2\}$ Holds. Strengthen pre-condition: $\{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{1}\} \twoheadrightarrow \{\top\}$
- 2. $\{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{10}\}$ x:=1; x:=x+1 $\{x=2\}$ Holds. Strengthen pre-condition: $\{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{10}\}$ \rightarrow $\{\top\}$
- 3. $\{\top\}$ x:=1; x:=x+1 $\{x=2 \land \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{1}\}$ Does NOT hold. Strengthen post-condition: $\{x=2 \land \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{1}\}$ \twoheadrightarrow $\{x=2\}$
- 4. $\{\top\}$ x:=1; x:=x+1 $\{\top\}$ Holds. Weaken post-condition: $\{x=2\}$ \twoheadrightarrow $\{\top\}$



- 1. $\{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{1}\}\ x:=1; x:=x+1\ \{x=2\}$ Holds. Strengthen pre-condition: $\{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{1}\} \twoheadrightarrow \{\top\}$
- 2. $\{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{10}\}$ x:=1; x:=x+1 $\{x=2\}$ Holds. Strengthen pre-condition: $\{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{10}\}$ \to $\{\top\}$
- 3. $\{\top\}$ x:=1; x:=x+1 $\{x=2 \land \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{1}\}$ Does NOT hold. Strengthen post-condition: $\{x=2 \land \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{1}\}$ \twoheadrightarrow $\{x=2\}$
- 4. $\{\top\}$ x:=1; x:=x+1 $\{\top\}$ Holds. Weaken post-condition: $\{x=2\}$ \twoheadrightarrow $\{\top\}$
- $5.\{\top\}\ x := 1; x := x + 1\{\bot\}$



- 1. $\{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{1}\}\ x:=1; x:=x+1\ \{x=2\}$ Holds. Strengthen pre-condition: $\{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{1}\} \twoheadrightarrow \{\top\}$
- 2. $\{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{10}\}$ x:=1; x:=x+1 $\{x=2\}$ Holds. Strengthen pre-condition: $\{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{10}\}$ \rightarrow $\{\top\}$
- 3. $\{\top\}$ x:=1; x:=x+1 $\{x=2 \land \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{1}\}$ Does NOT hold. Strengthen post-condition: $\{x=2 \land \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{1}\}$ \Rightarrow $\{x=2\}$
- 4. $\{\top\}$ x:=1; x:=x+1 $\{\top\}$ Holds. Weaken post-condition: $\{x=2\}$ \twoheadrightarrow $\{\top\}$
- 5. $\{\top\}$ x:=1; x:=x+1 $\{\bot\}$ Does NOT hold. Strengthen post-condition: $\{\bot\}$ \twoheadrightarrow $\{x=2\}$



Proving H-cons

```
Theorem hoare_consequence_pre : forall (P P' Q : Assertion) c,
  \{\{P'\}\}\} c \{\{0\}\}\} \rightarrow
  P \implies P' \implies
  {{P}} c {{0}}.
Theorem hoare_consequence_post : forall (P Q Q' : Assertion) c,
  \{\{P\}\}\} c \{\{0'\}\}\} \rightarrow
  0' \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow
  {{P}} c {{0}}.
Theorem hoare_consequence : forall (P P' Q Q' : Assertion) c,
  \{\{P'\}\}\ c\ \{\{0'\}\}\ \to
  P \rightarrow P' \rightarrow
  0^{\circ} \implies 0 \implies
  {{P}} c {{0}}.
```



Exercise

```
Goal \{\{\text{fun st} \Rightarrow \text{True}\}\}\
 X := 1; X := X + 1
\{\{\text{fun st} \Rightarrow \text{st } X = 2\}\}.
```



Theorem (H-cond): If $\{P\}$ c_1 $\{Q\}$ and $\{P\}$ c_2 $\{Q\}$, then $\{P\}$ if b then c_1 else c_2 $\{Q\}$.

```
Theorem hoare_cond: forall P Q b c1 c2, \{\{P\}\}\ c1\ \{\{Q\}\}\ \rightarrow \\ \{\{P\}\}\ c2\ \{\{Q\}\}\ \rightarrow \\ \{\{P\}\}\ if\ b\ then\ c1\ else\ c2\ \{\{Q\}\}.
```

Prove that

$$rac{\{ op\}\ y:=2\ \{x\leq y\}\quad \{ op\}y:=x+1\{x\leq y\}}{\{ op\}$$
 if $x=0$ then $y:=2$ else $y:=x+1\ \{x\leq y\}$



Proving **else**:

$$\frac{\cdots}{\{\top\} \twoheadrightarrow \{x \leq y[y \mapsto x+1]\}} \qquad \frac{\cdots}{\{x \leq y[y \mapsto x+1]\}y ::= x+1\{x \leq y\}} \text{H-asgn} \\ \frac{\{\top\}y ::= x+1\{x \leq y\}}{\{\top\} \text{if } x=0 \text{ then } y ::= 2 \text{ else } y ::= x+1\{x \leq y\}} \text{H-cond}$$



Proving else:

```
\frac{\cdots}{\{\top\} \twoheadrightarrow \{x \leq y[y \mapsto x+1]\}} \qquad \frac{\cdots}{\{x \leq y[y \mapsto x+1]\}y ::= x+1\{x \leq y\}} \text{H-asgn} \\ \qquad \qquad \{\top\}y ::= x+1\{x \leq y\} \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{H-cond} \\ \qquad \qquad \{\top\} \text{if } x=0 \text{ then } y ::= 2 \text{ else } y ::= x+1 \ \{x \leq y\}
```

Proving **then**:

$$\frac{???}{\{\top\}\ y ::= 2\ \{x \leq y\}}$$
 $\{\top\}$ if $x = 0$ then $y := 2$ else $y := x + 1\ \{x \leq y\}$



Proving else:

```
\frac{\cdots}{\{\top\} \twoheadrightarrow \{x \leq y[y \mapsto x+1]\}} \qquad \frac{\cdots}{\{x \leq y[y \mapsto x+1]\}y ::= x+1\{x \leq y\}} \text{H-asgn} \\ \qquad \qquad \{\top\}y ::= x+1\{x \leq y\} \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{H-cond} \\ \qquad \qquad \{\top\} \text{if } x = 0 \text{ then } y ::= 2 \text{ else } y ::= x+1 \ \{x \leq y\}
```

Proving **then**:

$$\frac{???}{\{\top\}\ y ::= 2\ \{x \leq y\}} \\ \frac{\{\top\}\ \text{if}\ x = 0\ \text{then}\ y := 2\ \text{else}\ y := x+1\ \{x \leq y\}}{} \text{H-cond}$$

We are missing that x=0, which would help us prove this result!



The Hoare theorem for If

Theorem (H-if): If $\{P \wedge b\}$ c_1 $\{Q\}$ and $\{P \wedge \neg b\}$ c_2 $\{Q\}$, then $\{P\}$ if b then c_1 else c_2 $\{Q\}$.



The Hoare theorem for If in Coq

```
Definition bassn b : Assertion := fun st ⇒ (beval st b = true).  

Theorem hoare_if : forall P Q b c1 c2,  
   {{fun st ⇒ P st /\ bassn b st}} c1 {{Q}} →  
   {{fun st ⇒ P st /\ ~(bassn b st)}} c2 {{Q}} →  
   {{P}} (if b then c1 else c2 FI) {{Q}}.  

Proof.  
intros.
```



Example

```
Goal
    {{fun st ⇒ True}}
    if X = 0
    then Y := 2
    else Y := X + 1
    {{fun st ⇒ st X ≤ st Y}}.
```



1. $\{P\}$ while b do c end $\{P\}$



- 1. $\{P\}$ while b do c end $\{P\}$
- 2. $\{P\}$ while b do c end $\{P \land \neg b\}$ We know that b is false after the loop. Can we state something about the body of the loop?



- 1. $\{P\}$ while b do c end $\{P\}$
- 2. $\{P\}$ while b do c end $\{P \land \neg b\}$ We know that b is false after the loop. Can we state something about the body of the loop?
- 3. If $\{P\}$ c $\{P\}$, then $\{P\}$ while b do c end $\{P \land \neg b\}$ We know that the loop body must at least preserve $\{P\}$. Why? Can we do better?



- 1. $\{P\}$ while b do c end $\{P\}$
- 2. $\{P\}$ while b do c end $\{P \land \neg b\}$ We know that b is false after the loop. Can we state something about the body of the loop?
- 3. If $\{P\}$ c $\{P\}$, then $\{P\}$ while b do c end $\{P \land \neg b\}$ We know that the loop body must at least preserve $\{P\}$. Why? Can we do better?

Theorem (H-while): If $\{P \wedge b\}$ c $\{P\}$, then $\{P\}$ while b do c end $\{P \wedge \neg b\}$.

```
Theorem hoare_while : forall P b c, \{\{\text{fun st} \Rightarrow \text{P st /\ bassn b st}\}\}\ c \ \{\{\text{P}\}\}\} \rightarrow \{\{\text{P}\}\}\ \text{while b do c end } \{\{\text{fun st} \Rightarrow \text{P st /\ ~ (bassn b st)}\}\}.
Proof.
unfold hoare_triple; intros.
```



Example



Recap

- ullet We introduced Hoare triples $\{P\}\ c\ \{Q\}$ as a framework to specify programs
- We introduced a set of theorems (syntax-oriented) to help us prove results on Hoare triples.



Hoare Logic Theory

$$\{P\} \; \text{skip} \; \{P\} \; (\text{H-skip}) \qquad \{P[x \mapsto a]\} \; x ::= a \; \{P\} \; (\text{H-asgn})$$

$$\frac{\{P\} \; c_1 \; \{Q\} \quad \{Q\} \; c_2 \; \{R\} \}}{\{P\} \; c_1; \; c_2 \; \{R\}} (\text{H-seq})$$

$$\frac{P \twoheadrightarrow P' \qquad \{P'\} \; c \; \{Q'\} \qquad Q' \twoheadrightarrow Q}{\{P\} \; c \; \{Q\}} (\text{H-cons})$$

$$\frac{\{P \land b\} \; c_1 \; \{Q\} \qquad \{P \land \neg b\} \; c_2 \; \{Q\} }{\{P\} \; \text{if} \; b \; \text{then} \; c_1 \; \text{else} \; c_2 \; \{Q\} } (\text{H-if})$$

$$\frac{\{P \land b\} \; c \; \{P\} }{\{P\} \; \text{while} \; b \; \text{do} \; c \; \text{end} \; \{P \land \neg b\}} (\text{H-while})$$



Hoare Logic as an Axiomatic Logic

- The set of theorems in slide 12 can describe Hoare's Logic axiomatically
- Necessary condition (sound): $\mathtt{hoare_proof}(P, c, Q) o \{P\} \ c \ \{Q\}$
- Sufficient condition (complete): $\{P\}\ c\ \{Q\} o exttt{hoare_proof}(P,c,Q)$

```
Inductive hoare_proof : Assertion → com → Assertion → Type :=
    H_Skip: forall P, hoare_proof P (SKIP) P
    H_Asgn: forall Q V a, hoare_proof (assn_sub V a Q) (V ::= a) Q
    H_Seq: forall P c Q d R, hoare_proof P c Q \rightarrow hoare_proof Q d R \rightarrow hoare_proof P (c;;d) R
    H_If: forall P Q b c1 c2,
    hoare_proof (fun st \Rightarrow P st /\ bassn b st) c1 Q \Rightarrow
    hoare_proof (fun st \Rightarrow P st /\ ~(bassn b st)) c2 Q \Rightarrow
    hoare_proof P (IFB b THEN c1 ELSE c2 FI) Q
  H_While : forall P b c,
    hoare_proof (fun st \Rightarrow P st / bassn b st) c P \rightarrow
    hoare_proof P (WHILE b DO c END) (fun st \Rightarrow P st /\ ~ (bassn b st))
  H_Consequence : forall (P 0 P' 0' : Assertion) c,
    hoare_proof P' c Q' \rightarrow (forall st, P st \rightarrow P' st) \rightarrow (forall st, Q' st \rightarrow Q st) \rightarrow hoare_proof P c Q.
                                                                                                                   Boston
```

Summary

- Consequence Theorem
- Conditional Theorem
- While-Loop Theorem
- Axiomatic Hoare Logic

