Today we will learn...

- A deeper look into "The Essence of JavaScript" paper
- Address some frequently asked questions about HW7 and HW8
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Abstract. We reduce JavaScript to a core calculus structured as a small-step operational semantics. We present several peculiarities of the language and show that our calculus models them. We explicate the desugaring process that turns JavaScript programs into ones in the core. We demonstrate faithfulness to JavaScript using real-world test suites. Finally, we illustrate utility by defining a security property, implementing it as a type system on the core, and extending it to the full language.
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1. Introduce LambdaJS
2. Present translation from JavaScript to LambdaJS
3. Demonstrate faithfulness with test suites
4. Illustrate utility of LambdaJS with a language extension.
c = num | str | bool | undefined | null
v = c | func(x · · ·) { return e } | { str:v · · · }

\( e = x | v | let \ (x = e) \ e | e(e · · ·) | e[e]| e[e] = e | delete \ e[e] \)

\( E = \bullet | let \ (x = E) \ e | E(e · · ·) | v(v · · · E, e · · ·) \)

| v[v] = E | delete \ E[e] | delete \ v[E] |

\[ \text{let } (x = v) e \mapsto e[x/v] \] \quad \text{(E-Let)}

\[ (\text{func}(x_1 · · · x_n) \ { \text{return } e }) (v_1 · · · v_n) \mapsto e[x_1/v_1 · · · x_n/v_n] \] \quad \text{(E-App)}

\[ \{ \text{ · · · str: v · · · } \}[str] \mapsto v \] \quad \text{(E-GetField)}

\[ \text{str}_x \notin (\text{str}_1 · · · \text{str}_n) \]
\[ \{ \text{str}_1: v_1 · · · \text{str}_n: v_n \} [\text{str}_x] \mapsto \text{undefined} \] \quad \text{(E-GetField-NotFound)}

\[ \{ \text{str}_1: v_1 · · · \text{str}_i: v_i · · · \text{str}_n: v_n \} [\text{str}_i] = v \]
\[ \mapsto \{ \text{str}_1: v_1 · · · \text{str}_i: v · · · \text{str}_n: v_n \} \] \quad \text{(E-UpdateField)}

\[ \text{str}_x \notin (\text{str}_1 · · ·) \]
\[ \{ \text{str}_1: v_1 · · · \} [\text{str}_x] = v_x \mapsto \{ \text{str}_x: v_x, \text{str}_1: v_1 · · · \} \] \quad \text{(E-CreateField)}

\[ \text{delete } \{ \text{str}_1: v_1 · · · \text{str}_x: v_x · · · \text{str}_n: v_n \} [\text{str}_x] \]
\[ \mapsto \{ \text{str}_1: v_1 · · · \text{str}_n: v_n \} \] \quad \text{(E-DeleteField)}

\[ \text{str}_x \notin (\text{str}_1 · · ·) \]
\[ \text{delete } \{ \text{str}_1: v_1 · · · \} [\text{str}_x] \mapsto \{ \text{str}_1: v_1 · · · \} \] \quad \text{(E-DeleteField-NotFound)}
The Essence of JavaScript

- LambdaJS (implemented in Racket)
- Translator from JS to λ-JS (Haskell)
- Coq formal semantics
- OCaml interpreter and translator (ECMAScript 5)
- Code: github.com/brownplt/LambdaJS
- Code: github.com/brownplt/LambdaS5
Desugar code review: field lookup

\[
\text{expr} :: \text{Env} \rightarrow \text{Expression SourcePos} \rightarrow \text{ExprPos}
\]

\[
\text{expr env e} = \text{case e of}
\]

- \[\text{ThisRef a} \rightarrow \text{EId a "this"}\]
- \[\text{VarRef \_ (Id \_ s)} \rightarrow \text{eVarRef env s}\]
- \[\text{DotRef a1 e (Id a2 s)} \rightarrow \text{EGetField a1 (EDeref nopos $ toObject $ expr env e)}\]
  \[\text{(EString a2 s)}\]
- \[\text{BracketRef a e1 e2} \rightarrow \text{EGetField a (EDeref nopos $ toObject $ expr env e1)}\]
  \[\text{(toString $ expr env e2)}\]
- \[\text{NewExpr \_ eConstr es} \rightarrow \text{eNew (expr env eConstr) (map (expr env) es)}\]

\[
J[x.y] = (\text{deref } x)["y"]
\]

Source
Desugar code review: calls/invocations

--desugar applying an object

applyObj :: ExprPos -> ExprPos -> [ExprPos] -> ExprPos

applyObj efuncobj ethis es = ELet1 nopos efuncobj $ \x ->
  EApp
    (label efuncobj)
    (EGetField
      (label ethis)
      (EDeref nopos $ EId nopos x)
      (EString nopos "$code"))
  [ethis, args x]

where args x = ERef nopos $ ERef nopos $ eArgumentsObj es (EId nopos x)

\[ J[x.y(e\cdots)] = (\text{deref (deref } x)["y"])["$code"](x, J[e\cdots]) \]
LambdaJS: Formal specification
LambdaJS: Object semantics

\[ \forall s. O(s) = \text{undef} \]

\[ \{\} \Downarrow_E O \quad \text{E-empty} \]

\[ e_o \Downarrow_E O \quad e_f \Downarrow_E s \]
\[ e_o[e_f] \Downarrow_E \text{lookup}(O, s) \quad \text{(E-get)} \]

\[ e_o \Downarrow_E O \quad e_f \Downarrow_E s \quad e_v \Downarrow_E v \]
\[ e_o[e_f] = e_v \Downarrow_E O[s \mapsto v] \quad \text{(E-set)} \]
LambdaJS: Heap operations

\[ e \downarrow v \quad l \leftarrow \text{alloc } v \]
\[ \text{alloc } e \downarrow l \]
\[ e \downarrow l \]
\[ \text{deref } e \downarrow \text{get } l \]
\[ e_1 \downarrow_E l \quad e_2 \downarrow_E v \quad \text{put } l \ v \]
\[ e_1 := e_2 \downarrow l \]
Lookup with references

\[
\begin{align*}
O &= \text{get } l \quad s \in O \\
\text{lookup}(l, s) &= O(s) \\
\hline
O &= \text{get } l \quad s \notin O \\
\text{lookup}(l, s) &= \text{undef} \\
\hline
O &= \text{get } l \quad s \notin O \\
O(\'\$\text{proto}\') &= l' \\
\text{lookup}(l, s) &= \text{lookup}(l', s)
\end{align*}
\]

**Definition**

**Field membership:** Let \( s \notin O \) if, and only, \( O(s) = \text{undef} \), otherwise we say that \( s \in O \).
Homework assignment questions
HW7 question

What is the major difference between an eff and an eff-op?
HW7 question

What is the major difference between an eff and an eff-op?

Answer

Let us look at hw7-util.rkt:

```
(struct eff (state result) #:transparent)
(struct eff-op (func))
```

- eff is the return of effectful operations
- eff-op a structure that holds an effectful operation, takes a state (eg, a heap) and produces an eff

Examples of effectful operations eff-op: eff-bind, eff-pure, env-put, env-get, env-push
**HW7 question**

How do I test for if? How do I know if the term is curried?

\[
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**HW7 question**

How do I test for if? How do I know if the term is curried?

\[
\begin{align*}
    e_c \Downarrow_E \#f & \quad \Rightarrow \quad e_f \Downarrow v_f & \quad (E\text{-if-f}) \\
    (((\text{if } e_c) e_t) e_f) \Downarrow_E v_f \\

    e_c \Downarrow_E v & \quad v \neq \#f & \quad \Rightarrow \quad e_t \Downarrow v_t & \quad (E\text{-if-t}) \\
    (((\text{if } e_c) e_t) e_f) \Downarrow_E v_t
\end{align*}
\]

**Answer**

1. Use pattern matching with nested a pattern before the branch for apply.
2. Terms being evaluated are **always** curried.
Match examples

(match exp
    [(? s:value? x) x]
    [x #:when (s:value? x) x]
    ; 'x ← would match
    [(s:variable 'x)
        'pattern1]; declares nothing
    [(s:lambda (list (s:variable _)) _)
        'pattern2]; declares nothing
    ; (closure E0 (lambda (y) z)) ← would match
    [(s:closure _ (s:lambda (list x) eb))
        ; declares x (which we know is an s:variable) and eb (which we know is an s:expression)
        'pattern3]
    ; '((x 1) y) ← would match
    [(s:apply (s:apply (s:variable 'x) (list (s:number 1))) (list (s:variable foo)))
        'pattern4])
HW8 question

What does \( \lambda(\text{this}, x \cdots).[e] \) mean?

\[
\begin{align*}
J[\text{function}(x \cdots) \{e\}] &= \\
\text{alloc} \{ "\text{code}" : \lambda(\text{this}, x \cdots).J[e], "\text{prototype}" : \text{alloc} \{ \} \}\end{align*}
\]
HW8 question

What does $\lambda(this, x \cdots).[e]$ mean?

\[
\begin{align*}
J[\text{function}(x \cdots)\{e\}] &= \\
\text{alloc}\{"code" : \lambda(this, x \cdots).J[e], "prototype" : \text{alloc}\{\}}
\end{align*}
\]

Answer

Generate a lambda, whose

1. **parameters** are this, $x \cdots$, so translate the original parameters $x, \cdots$ and add a variable this
2. **body** is the translation of $e$
What is js-set!??
HW8 question

What is js-set!?

Answer

• The generated code did not fit the slide, think of it as the translation of \( \text{set! o.f a} \). I have highlighted in yellow the code being generated.
HW8 question

What is the difference between $proto and prototype?
HW8 question

What is the difference between $proto$ and prototype?

Answer

1. $proto$ is a field used for looking up the super object (the parent); works on any object. In JavaScript this is __proto__, in LambdaJS this is $proto$.

2. prototype is the field of every function, used by new to initialize the $proto$ field of created objects

```javascript
function A () {this.a = 1;}
A.prototype = {
  __proto__: {
    b: 10, c: 10, a: 10,
  },
  b: 20
}
a = new A; // {a: 1, *b: 20, *c: 10}
```
Functional parallelism
Parallelism with asynchronous evaluation

The idea is similar to `delay/force`

1. `(future t)` evaluates a thunk `t` in another task, possibly by another processor
2. Calling `(future t)` returns a `future value` `f`, a place holder to a parallel computation
3. One can await the termination of the parallel task with `(touch f)`, which blocks the current task until the task evaluating the future thunk terminates. Consecutive `(touch f)` are nonblocking.

```
(define f (thunk (sleep 2) 99)) ;; Spawns a task T1
(assert-equals? (touch f) 99) ;; Blocks until T1 terminates and returns 99
(touch f) ;; We know that T1 has terminated
```
A parallel fold

(define (par-reduce f init v lo hi)
  (if (< (- lo hi) threshold)
    ;; Base case, call sequential version
    (foldl f init (vector-view v lo hi))
    ;; Otherwise, divide array into two and spawn another task
    (let* ([mid (floor (+ (/ lo 2) (/ hi 2)))])
      [l (future (thunk (par-reduce f init v lo mid)))]
      [r (par-reduce f init v mid hi)]
      (f (touch 1) r))))

Map-reduce example

(f
  (f
    (foldl f 0 [ 0 ... 64]) ; Task 1
    (foldl f 0 [64 ... 128])) ; Task 2
    (foldl f 0 [128 ... 192]))) ; Task 3
Example of parallel reduce

```
(define (f x y)
  (/ (- (+ (- (* x 2) y) 25) x 56) x 36 2))
(define (do-par l)
  (par-reduce f 0 (list→vector l)))
(define (do-seq l)
  (foldl f 0 l))
```
Example of parallel reduce

```
(define (f x y)
  (/ (- (+ (- (* x 2) y y 25) x y 56) x 36) 2))
(define (do-par 1)
  (par-reduce f 0 (list→vector 1)))
(define (do-seq 1)
  (foldl f 0 1))
```

Output

Processing a list of size: 10000

* Serial version *
Throughput: 25 elems/ms
Mean: 402.03±9.89ms

* Parallel version *
Throughput: 25 elems/ms
Mean: 392.76±13.2ms
Parallelism in Racket 😞
Let us try Clojure!
Parallel reduce

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{defn } & \text{do-reduce } [f \ 1 \ \text{treshold}] \\
( & \text{proxy } [\text{RecursiveTask}] []) \\
& \text{(compute [])} \\
& \text{(if } (\leq (\text{count } 1) \ \text{treshold}) \\
& \quad ;; \text{if the vector is small enough,} \\
& \quad ;; \text{we just reduce over them} \\
& \quad (\text{reduce } f \ 0 \ 1) \\
& \quad ;; \text{otherwise, we split the vector roughly in two} \\
& \quad ;; \text{and recursively run two more tasks} \\
& \quad (\text{let } [\text{half } \text{quot } (\text{count } 1) 2) \\
& \quad \quad f1 \ (\text{do-reduce } f \ (\text{subvec } 1 \ 0 \ \text{half}) \ \text{treshold}) \\
& \quad \quad f2 \ (\text{do-reduce } f \ (\text{subvec } 1 \ \text{half}) \ \text{treshold})] \\
& \quad ;; \text{do half the work in a new thread} \\
& \quad (.\text{fork } f2) \\
& \quad ;; \text{do the other half in this thread and combine} \\
& \quad (f \ (.\text{compute } f1) \ (.\text{join } f2)))
\end{align*}
\]
Demo

- Clojure 1.10
- OpenJDK 1.8.0_191
- Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-8550U CPU @ 1.80GHz
- 4 cores
- list with 1,000,000 elements

CS450 ☾ The Essence of JavaScript; Homework FAQ ☾ Lecture 39 ☾ Tiago Cogumbreiro
Demo

- Clojure 1.10
- OpenJDK 1.8.0_191
- Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-8550U CPU @ 1.80GHz
- 4 cores
- list with 1,000,000 elements

Serial version
"Elapsed time: 2769.94558 msecs"

Parallel version
"Elapsed time: 755.341055 msecs"

3.7× Increase!
Demo 2

Let us vary the parameter being used...
Demo 2

Let us vary the parameter being used...

Serial version
"Elapsed time: 101.96357 msecs"
Parallel version
"Elapsed time: 219.819163 msecs"

2.0× slower!
Parallel overhead is significant!
Demo 3

Let us vary the size of the data being used: 100,000 elements rather than 1,000,000
Demo 3

Let us vary the size of the data being used: **100,000 elements** rather than 1,000,000

Serial version
"Elapsed time: 179.724932 msecs"
Parallel version
"Elapsed time: 182.837934 msecs"

Data size is also significant!
Thank you!