### CS450

### Structure of Higher Level Languages

Lecture 28: Effectful operations

Tiago Cogumbreiro

# Stack machines

The state does not need to be a heap

### Stack machines



- Uses a stack of number to represent memory (rather than registers)
- Variable-free code
- Very compact object code
- Examples of (virtual) stack machines: OpenJDK JVM, CPython interpreter

```
def mult():
    x = pop
    y = pop
    push (x * y)

def prog():
    push(2)
    push(5)
    mult() # 2 * 5 = 10
    push(2)
    mult() # 10 * 2 = 20
```

### A stack-based evaluator



### Operations

- $push(n) \rightarrow (void)$
- $pop() \rightarrow number$

### State

### A stack-based evaluator



### Operations

- $push(n) \rightarrow (void)$
- $pop() \rightarrow number$

### State

a list of numbers

# Implementing pop



(define (pop)

## Implementing pop



```
(define (pop)
  (lambda (stack)
    (eff (rest stack) (first stack))))
```

# Implementing push



(define (push n)

## Implementing push



```
(define (push n)
  (lambda (stack)
    (eff (cons n stack) (void))))
```

## Implementing mult



```
def mult():
    x = pop
    y = pop
    push (x * y)
```

### Implementing mult



```
def mult():
    x = pop
    y = pop
    push (x * y)
```

## Implementing prog



#### Pseudo Code

```
def prog():
   push(2)
   push(5)
   mult() # 2 * 5 = 10
   push(2)
   mult() # 10 * 2 = 20
```

### Implementing prog



#### Pseudo Code

```
def prog():
   push(2)
   push(5)
   mult() # 2 * 5 = 10
   push(2)
   mult() # 10 * 2 = 20
```

#### In Racket

```
(check-equal? (run-state (list) prog4) (list 20))
```

Unfortunately, the code appears very nested if we indent it as we usually do. **Can we do better?** 

## Sequencing effectful operators



### Sequencing effectful operators



Solution

Revisit prog4

```
(define (seq2 op1 op2)
  (bind op1 (lambda (x) op2)))

(define (seq op . ops)
  (cond [(empty? ops) op]
       [else (seq2 op (apply seq ops))]))
```

## Sequencing effectful operators



#### Solution

```
(define (seq2 op1 op2)
  (bind op1 (lambda (x) op2)))

(define (seq op . ops)
  (cond [(empty? ops) op]
       [else (seq2 op (apply seq ops))]))
```

#### Revisit prog4

#### Limitations

The **seq** operator is a regular function call, which takes **expressions** as its arguments. This complicates a situation where we might need to create a temporary variable (say to cache a result) in the middle of a **seq**.

## Syntactic sugar: the do notation



Macros can be useful technique to avoid redundant code. In our case, we are using a macro to avoid syntactic verbosity.

```
(define-syntax do
  (syntax-rules (←)
   ; Only one monadic-op, return it
  [(_ mexp) mexp]
   ; A binding operation
  [(_ var ← mexp rest ...) (bind mexp (lambda (var) (do rest ...)))]
   ; No binding operator, just ignore the return value
  [(_ mexp rest ...) (bind mexp (lambda (_) (do rest ...)))]))
```

You do not need to understand this code today. We will learn about macros in detail in a future lesson.

## Syntactic sugar: the do notation



The do notation allows us to make our code less nested. The cost of using macros is that they obfuscate the program's semantics.

Before

After

```
(define (mult)
  (do
    x ← (pop)
    y ← (pop)
       (push (* x y))))
```

### Limitations

Similarly to **seq**, because of how the macro was designed, it takes a sequence of expressions. Monadic interfaces usually introduce an operator **pure** to workaround the issue.

## The pure operator



The pure operator simply converts a pure (non-effectful) value into an effectful value, leaving the state unaltered. One useful benefit of this is that it allow us to combine effectful and pure operations in the same interface.

The pure operator

```
(define (pure v)
  (lambda (st)
      (eff st v)))
```

#### Example

```
(define (mult)
  (do
    x ← (pop)
    y ← (pop)
    z ← (pure (* x y))
        (push z))))
```

### Summary: the monad



A monad is a **functional pattern** which can be categorized of two base combinators:

- **Bind:** combines two effectful operations  $o_1$  and  $o_2$ . Operation  $o_1$  produces a value that is consumed by operation  $o_2$ .
- Pure: Converts a pure value to a monadic operation, which can then be chained with bind.

In this course, we will learn that the monadic pattern appears in different contexts.

## Summary: the state monad



- **Data:** the monadic data is a pair (struct eff) that holds the global state and some result.
- **Bind:** combines operation  $o_1$  with operation  $o_2$ ; after executing  $o_1$ , we get a new state and some result that are both fed into operation  $o_2$ .

#### To think...

Monadic function application: can we create a function call where all arguments are monadic values? What about a monadic map? And a monadic fold?

```
(define (mult)
  (do
   z ← (mapply * (pop) (pop))
      (push z)))
;; Or, simply: (define (mult) (bind (mapply * (pop) (pop)) push))
```