Structure of Higher Level Languages

Lecture 4: Nested defs, tail call optimization
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Exercises with lists
Lists: example 1

Summation of all elements of a list

Spec

```
(define sum-list
  (lambda (lst)
    (if (null? lst)
        0
        (+ (car lst) (sum-list (cdr lst))))))

(check-equal? 10 (sum-list (list 1 2 3 4)))
(check-equal? 0 (sum-list (list))))
```
Lists: example 1

Summation of all elements of a list

Spec

```
(require rackunit)
(check-equal? 10 (sum-list (list 1 2 3 4)))
(check-equal? 0 (sum-list (list)))
```

Solution

```
#lang racket
; Summation of all elements of a list
(define (sum-list l)
  (cond [(empty? l) 0]
        [else (+ (first l) (sum-list (rest l)))]))
```
Lists: example 2

Returns a list from n down to 1

Spec

```scheme
(require rackunit)
(check-equal? (list) (count-down 0))
(check-equal? (list 3 2 1) (count-down 3))
```
Lists: example 2

Returns a list from n down to 1

Spec

(require rackunit)
(check-equal? (list) (count-down 0))
(check-equal? (list 3 2 1) (count-down 3))

Solution

#lang racket
(define (count-down n)
  (cond [(<= n 0) (list)]
        [else (cons n (count-down (- n 1)))]))
Lists: example 3

Point-wise pairing of two lists

Spec

```
(requrie rackunit)
(check-equl? (list (cons 3 30) (cons 2 20) (cons 1 10))
  (zip (list 3 2 1) (list 30 20 10)))
(check-equal? (list (cons 3 30) (cons 2 20) (cons 1 10))
  (zip (list 3 2 1) (list 30 20 10 5 4 3 2 1))))
(check-equal? (list (cons 3 30) (cons 2 20) (cons 1 10))
  (zip (list 3 2 1 90 180 270) (list 30 20 10))))
```
Lists: example 3

Point-wise pairing of two lists
Lists: example 3

Point-wise pairing of two lists

Solution

```
#lang racket
(define list-add cons) (define pair cons)
(define (zip l1 l2)
  (cond [(empty? l1) (list)]
        [(empty? l2) (list)]
        [else
         (list-add
          (pair (first l1) (first l2))
          (zip (rest l1) (rest l2)))]))
```
Using nested definitions
Our goal is to build a list from 1 up to some number. Here is a template of our function and a test case for us to play with. For the sake of simplicity, we will not handle non-positive numbers.

```racket
#lang racket
(define (countup-from1 x) #f)

(require rackunit)
(check-equal? (list 1) (countup-from1 1))
(check-equal? (list 1 2) (countup-from1 2))
(check-equal? (list 1 2 3 4 5) (countup-from1 5))
```

Hint: write a helper function `count` that builds counts from `n` up to `m`. 
Exercise 1: attempt #1

We write a helper function `count` that builds counts from `n` up to `m`.

```racket
#lang racket
(define (countup-from1 x)
  (count 1 x))

(define (count from to)
  (cond
   [(equal? from to) (list to)]
   [else (cons from (count (+ 1 from) to))]))
```
Exercise 1: attempt #1

We write a helper function `count` that builds counts from \( n \) up to \( m \).

```racket
#lang racket
(define (countup-from1 x)
  (count 1 x))

(define (count from to)
  (cond
   [(equal? from to) (list to)]
   [else (cons from (count (+ 1 from) to))]))
```

Let us refactor the code and hide function `count`
Exercise 1: attempt #2

We move function `count` to be internal to function `countup-from1`, as it is a helper function and therefore it is good practice to make it `private` to `countup-from1`.

```
(define (countup-from1 x)
  ; Internally defined function, not visible from
  ; the outside
  (define (count from to)
    (cond [(equal? from to) (list to)]
          [else (cons from (count (+ 1 from) to))])
  ; The same call as before
  (count 1 x))
```
When to nest functions?

Nest functions:

- If they are unnecessary outside
- If they are under development
- If you want to hide them: *Every function in the public interface of your code is something you'll have to maintain!*
Intermission: Nested definitions
Nested definition: local variables

Nested definitions bind a variable within the body of a function and are only visible within that function (these are local variables)

```racket
#lang racket
(define (f x)
  (define z 3)
  (+ x z))
(+ 1 z) ; Error: z is not visible outside function f
```
Nested definitions shadow other variables

Nested definitions silently shadow any already defined variable

```racket
#lang racket
(define z 10)
(define (f x)
  (define x 3); Shadows parameter
  (define z 20); Shadows global
  (+ x z))

(f 1); Outputs 23
```
No redefined local variables

It is an error to re-define local variables

```racket
#lang racket
(define (f b)
  ; OK to shadow a parameter
  (define b (+ b 1))
  (define a 1)
  ; Not OK to re-define local variables
  ; Error: define-values: duplicate binding name
  (define a (+ a 1))
  (+ a b))
```
Exercise 1: attempt #2

Notice that we have some redundancy in our code. In function `count`, parameter `to` remains unchanged throughout execution.

```scheme
(define (countup-from1 x)
  ;; Internally defined function, not visible from
  ;; the outside
  (define (count from to)
    (cond [(equal? from to) (list to)]
          [else (cons from (count (+ 1 from) to))]))
  ;; The same call as before
  (count 1 x))
```
Exercise 1: attempt #3

We removed parameter `to` from function `count` as it was constant throughout the execution. Variable `to` is captured/copied when `count` is defined.

```scheme
(define (countup-from1 to)
  ; Internally defined function, not visible from
  ; the outside
  (define (count from)
    (cond
      [(equal? from to) (list to)]
      [else (cons from (count (+ 1 from)))]))
  ; The same call as before
  (count 1))
```
Example 1: summary

- Use a nested definition to hide a function that is only used internally.
- Nested definitions can refer to variables defined outside the scope of their definitions.
- The last expression of a function's body is evaluated as the function's return value.
Measuring performance
Example 2

Maximum number from a list of integers
Example 2: attempt 1

Finding the maximum element of a list.

#lang racket
(define (max xs)
  (cond
   [(empty? xs) (error "max: expecting a non-empty list!")]  ; The list only has one element (the max)
   [(empty? (rest xs)) (first xs)]  ; The max of the rest is smaller than 1st
   [(> (first xs) (max (rest xs))) (first xs)]  ; Otherwise, use the max of the rest
   [else (max (rest xs))]])

; A simple unit-test
(require rackunit)
(check-equal? 10 (max (list 1 2 10 4 0)))

We use function error to abort the program with an exception. We use functions first and rest as synonyms for car and cdr, as it reads better.
Example 2: attempt 1

Finding the maximum element of a list.

Let us benchmark \( \text{max} \) with sorted list (worst-case scenario):

- 20 elements: 18.43ms
- 21 elements: 36.63ms
- 22 elements: 75.78ms

Whenever we add an element we double the execution time. Why?
Example 2: attempt 1

Whenever we hit the else branch (because we can't find the maximum), we re-compute the max element.

```
(define (max xs)
  (cond
    [(empty? xs) (error "max: expecting a non-empty list!")]  ; The list only has one element (the max)
    [(empty? (rest xs)) (first xs)]
    [ (> (first xs) (max (rest xs))) (first xs)]  ; The max of the rest is smaller than 1st
    [else (max (rest xs))]])
```

; Otherwise, use the max of the rest
Example 2: attempt 2

We use a local variable to cache a duplicate computation.

```
(define (max xs)
  (cond
    [(empty? xs) (error "max: expecting a non-empty list!")]
    [(empty? (rest xs)) (first xs)]
    [else
     (define rest-max (max (rest xs))) ; Cache the max of the rest
     (cond
       [(> (first xs) rest-max) (first xs)]
       [else rest-max])]]))
```

- Attempt #1: 20 elements in 75.78ms
- Attempt #2: 1,000,000 elements in 101.15ms
Example 2 takeaways

- Use nested definitions to cache intermediate results
- Identify repeated computations and cache them in nested (local) definitions
Tail-call optimization

What is it?
max: attempt 1

(define (max xs)
  (cond
    [(empty? xs) (error "max: expecting a non-empty list!")] 
    [(empty? (rest xs)) (first xs)] ; The list only has one element (the max)
    [>(first xs) (max (rest xs))) (first xs)] ; The max of the rest is smaller than 1st
    [else (max (rest xs))]]) ; Otherwise, use the max of the rest
We use a local variable to cache a duplicate computation.

```scheme
(define (max xs)
  (cond
   [(empty? xs) (error "max: expecting a non-empty list!")]   
   [(empty? (rest xs)) (first xs)]
   [else
    (define rest-max (max (rest xs))) ; Cache the max of the rest
    (cond
     [(> (first xs) rest-max) (first xs)]
     [else rest-max]]))
```

- Attempt #1: 20 elements in 75.78ms
- Attempt #2: 1,000,000 elements in 101.15ms

5000 × more elements for the same amount of time!
Can we do better?
max: attempt 3

```
(define (max xs) =
  ; 1. Abstract the maximum between two numbers
  (define (max2 x y) (cond [(< x y) y] [else x]))

  ; 2. Use parameters to store accumulated results
  (define (max-aux curr-max xs)
    ; 3. Accumulate maximum number before recursion
    (define new-max (max2 curr-max (first xs)))
    (cond
      [(empty? (rest xs)) new-max] ; Last element is max
        [else (max-aux new-max (rest xs)))])) ; Otherwise, recurse

  (cond
    [(empty? xs) (error "max: empty list")]; 4. Only test if the list is empty once
    [else (max-aux (first xs) xs)])
)
```

Comparing both attempts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element count</th>
<th>Execution time</th>
<th>Increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attempt #2</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>101.15ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attempt #3</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>20.98ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attempt #2</td>
<td>10,000,000</td>
<td>1410.06ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attempt #3</td>
<td>10,000,000</td>
<td>237.66ms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Why is attempt #3 so much faster?

Because attempt #3 is being target of a Tail-Call optimization!
How are both attempts different?

Attempt 2

```
(define rest-max (max (rest xs))) ; 1. Do recursive call
(cond ; 2. Handle accumulated result
    [(max2 (first xs) rest-max) (first xs)]
    [else rest-max]]))
```

Attempt 3

```
(define new-max (max2 curr-max (first xs))) ; 1. Handle accumulated result
(cond ; 2. Do recursive call
    [(empty? (rest xs)) new-max]
    [else (max-aux new-max (rest xs))]))
```