CS420

Introduction to the Theory of Computation Lecture 6: The pumping lemma; non-regular languages

Tiago Cogumbreiro

Today we will learn...

- Regular languages
- The pumping lemma
- Non-regular languages
- Proving that a language is not regular with the Pumping lemma

Section 1.4 Nonregular Languages Today's lecture is based on the excellent <u>Prof. Emanuele Viola's slides</u>.



What is a regular language?

What is a regular language?



Definition 1.16

We say that L_1 is regular if there exists a DFA M such that $L(M) = L_1$.





Let N_1 be the following NFA:



Is $L(N_1)$ regular?





Let N_1 be the following NFA:



Is $L(N_1)$ regular?

Yes. Proof: we can convert N_1 into an equivalent DFA, which then satisfies Definition 1.16.





Let N_1 be the following NFA:



Is $L(N_1)$ regular?

Yes. Proof: we can convert N_1 into an equivalent DFA, which then satisfies Definition 1.16.

Theorem

We say that L_1 is regular, if there exits an NFA N such that $L(N)=L_1$



Example 2 Is $L(0 + 1^*)$ regular?



Is $L(0+1^{\star})$ regular?

Example 2

Yes. Proof: We have that $L(0 + 1^*) = L(NFA(0 + 1^*))$, which is regular (from the previous theorem).



Is $L(0+1^{\star})$ regular?

Example 2

Yes. Proof: We have that $L(0 + 1^*) = L(NFA(0 + 1^*))$, which is regular (from the previous theorem).

Theorem

We say that L_1 is regular, if there exits a regular expression R such that $L(R)=L_1$

What is a regular language?



A language is regular if there exists a DFA that recognizes it
 A language is regular if there exists an NFA that recognizes it
 A language is regular if there exists a Regex that recognizes it





The language of strings that have a possibly empty sequence of n zeroes followed by a sequence of n ones.

 $B = \{0^n 1^n \mid orall n \colon n \geq 0\}$

Is this language regular?





The language of strings that have a possibly empty sequence of n zeroes followed by a sequence of n ones.

 $B = \{0^n 1^n \mid orall n \colon n \geq 0\}$

Is this language regular?

How do we prove that a language is *not* regular?





The language of strings that have a possibly empty sequence of n zeroes followed by a sequence of n ones.

 $B = \{0^n 1^n \mid orall n \colon n \geq 0\}$

Is this language regular?

How do we prove that a language is *not* regular?

The only way we know is by proving that there is no NFA/DFA/regex that can recognize such a language.

An intuition

The pumping lemma tells us that **all** regular languages (that have a loop) have the following characteristics:

Every word in a regular language, $w \in L$, can be partitioned into three parts w = xyz:

- a portion x before the first loop,
- a portion *y* that is one loop's iteration (nonempty), and
- a portion *z* that follows the first loop

Additionally, since y is a loop, then it may be omitted or replicated as many times as we want and that word will also be in the given language, that is $xy^iz\in L$







Pictorial intuition



You: Give me any string accepted by the automaton of at least size 3.



Pictorial intuition



You: Give me any string accepted by the automaton of at least size 3. **Example:** 100



Pictorial intuition



You: Give me any string accepted by the automaton of at least size 3. **Example:** 100

Me: I will partition 100 into three parts 100 = xyz such that $xy^i z$ is accepted for any *i*:



- $xz = 10 \cdot \epsilon = 10$ is accepted
- xyyz = 1000 is accepted

- $x \underline{yyyy} z = 10 \underline{0000}$ is accepted
- xyyyyyz = 1000000 is accepted



Pictorial intuition



You: Give me a string of size 4.

Example: 1100

Me: I will partition 1100 into three parts 1100 = xyz such that xy^iz is accepted for any *i*:



- xz = 100 is accepted
- $xyyz = 1\underline{11}00$ is accepted

- $x \underline{yyyy}z = 1\underline{1111}00$ is accepted
- $xyyyyyz = 1\underline{111111}00$ is accepted



If A is a regular language, then there exists a *pumping length* (a number) where if $s \in A$ and $|s| \geq p$, then there exist x, y, z such that

1. s=xyz2. $orall i:i\geq 0$ we have that $xy^iz\in A$ 3. |y|>04. $|xy|\leq p$

Nonregular languages

Recall the contrapositive

If
$$P \implies Q$$
, then $\neg Q \implies \neg P$

Theorem contrapositive: forall P Q: Prop, $(P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow (\sim Q \rightarrow \sim P)$. Proof.







Recall the contrapositive

If
$$P \implies Q$$
, then $\neg Q \implies \neg P$

Theorem contrapositive:

forall P Q: Prop, $(P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow (\sim Q \rightarrow \sim P)$. Proof.

intros. (* introduce assumptions. *)
unfold not. (* open the definition of not, P → False *)
intros. (* introduce assumption P *)
apply H in H1. (* We have P→Q and P apply the former to the latter. *)
contradiction. (* We have Q and ~Q, so we reach a contradiction. *)
Qed.

Feel free to iterate through the proof using CoqIDE: <u>coq.inria.fr</u>





From the Pumping lemma we have

 $A ext{ is regular } \implies \exists p, \operatorname{Pumping}(p, A)$

Then, by the contrapositive, we have:



From the Pumping lemma we have

 $A ext{ is regular } \implies \exists p, \operatorname{Pumping}(p, A)$

Then, by the contrapositive, we have:

$$\neg (\exists p, \operatorname{Pumping}(p, A)) \implies \neg A \text{ is regular}$$



From the Pumping lemma we have

 $A ext{ is regular } \implies \exists p, \operatorname{Pumping}(p, A)$

Then, by the contrapositive, we have:

$$\neg (\exists p, \operatorname{Pumping}(p, A)) \implies \neg A \text{ is regular}$$

Thus,

 $\forall p, \neg \operatorname{Pumping}(p, A) \implies A ext{ is regular } \implies \bot$

From the Pumping lemma we have $A ext{ is regular } \implies \exists p, ext{Pumping}(p, A)$

Pumping Lemma and not regular languages

Then, by the contrapositive, we have:

$$\neg (\exists p, \operatorname{Pumping}(p, A)) \implies \neg A \text{ is regular}$$

Thus,

$$orall p,
eg \operatorname{Pumping}(p, A) \implies A ext{ is regular } \implies \bot$$

In other words, if we have $\neg \text{Pumping}(p, A)$ (next slide) and A is regular, then we can reach a contradiction.





 $eglines \operatorname{Pumping}(p,A)$ and A is regular $\implies \bot$ can be written as follows:

 $H_0 \colon orall p \colon p \geq 0$

- $H_1\colon \exists w\colon w\in A$ such that $|w|\geq p$
- $H_2\colon orall x,y,z\colon w=xyz$ where |y|>0 and $|xy|\leq p$
- $H_3 \colon \exists i \colon i \geq 0$
- $H_4\colon A$ is regular

Goal: ot

 \neg Pumping(p, A) and A is regular $\implies \bot$ can be written Proof strategy as follows:

 $H_0\colon orall p\colon p\geq 0$

- $H_1\colon \exists w\colon w\in A$ such that $|w|\geq p$
- $H_2\colon orall x,y,z\colon w=xyz$ where |y|>0 and $|xy|\leq p$
- $H_3 \colon \exists i \colon i \geq 0$

 $H_4\colon A$ is regular

 $\operatorname{Goal}:\bot$

Proving that a language A is nonregular involves using the \forall and \exists quantifiers.

Proving can be seen as a game, concluding a proof means winning the game.

- The ∀ quantifier is picked by your adversary
- The ∃ quantifier is picked by you (the player)



Proof example (with existential)

Theorem: For any number, there exists a another number that is greater than the given number.

 $H_0: orall a\colon a\geq 0$

Goal $\exists b : b > a$

- ∀ : Your adversary can pick any number, including the biggest number they can think of
- ∃: But, because we can pick another number, by knowing what number was given we can just answer the successor

Proof. Pick a + 1.

```
Goal
   forall a, exists b,
    b > a.
Proof.
```



Proof example (with existential)

Theorem: For any number, there exists a another number that is greater than the given number.

 $H_0: orall a\colon a\geq 0$

Goal $\exists b : b > a$

- ∀ : Your adversary can pick any number, including the biggest number they can think of
- ∃: But, because we can pick another number, by knowing what number was given we can just answer the successor

```
Proof. Pick a + 1.
```

```
Goal
   forall a, exists b,
    b > a.
Proof.
   intros.
   exists (1 + a).
   auto with *.
Qed.
```



Proving that a language is not regular

- 1. **Adversary** picks *p* such that:
 - $p \geq 0$
- 2. You pick some w so that: $w \in A$ and $|w| \geq p$
- 3. Adversary decomposes w in xyz such that: |y|>0 and $|xy|\leq p$
- 4. You pick some i such that:
 - $i \ge 0$
- 5. Goal: You show that $xy^iz \notin A$



• The accepted word:

usually that words has an exponent, in which case use the pumping length

• How many times *y* repeats: usually 0 or 2





$\{0^n \overline{1^n} \mid orall n \colon n \geq 0\}$ is nonregular



Theorem $\{0^n1^n \mid orall n \colon n \geq 0\}$ is not regular. Proof idea

1. Adversary: picks p such that $p \geq 0$



- 1. Adversary: picks p such that $p \geq 0$
- 2. You: Let us pick $w = 0^p 1^p$
 - $w \in A$ and $|w| \geq p$ (trivially holds)





- 1. Adversary: picks p such that $p \geq 0$
- 2. You: Let us pick $w = 0^p 1^p$
 - $w \in A$ and $|w| \geq p$ (trivially holds)
- 3. Adversary: decomposes w in xyz such that:
 - |y|>0 and $|xy|\leq p$





- 1. Adversary: picks p such that $p\geq 0$
- 2. You: Let us pick $w = 0^p 1^p$
 - $w \in A$ and $|w| \geq p$ (trivially holds)
- 3. Adversary: decomposes w in xyz such that:
 - |y|>0 and $|xy|\leq p$
- 4. You: Let us pick i=2:
 - $i\geq 0$ (trivially holds)



Theorem $\{0^n1^n \mid orall n \colon n \geq 0\}$ is not regular. Proof idea

- 1. Adversary: picks p such that $p\geq 0$
- 2. You: Let us pick $w = 0^p 1^p$
 - $w \in A$ and $|w| \geq p$ (trivially holds)
- 3. Adversary: decomposes w in xyz such that: |y|>0 and $|xy|\leq p$
- 4. You: Let us pick i=2:
 - $i\geq 0$ (trivially holds)
- 5. **Goal:** You: show that $xyyz \notin A$

Why?

- The final goal is to show that $w \notin A$; thus, to show that the exponent of 1 is different than the exponent of 0.
- By picking p as the exponent, we force the exponent of 1 to contain at least |xy|, meaning that z will be fixed.
- By selecting i=2 we make the exponent of 1 bigger than that of 0.



Theorem $L_1 = \{0^n 1^n \mid \forall n \colon n \geq 0\}$ is not regular.

Proof. We prove that the language above does not satisfy the pumping property, thus the language is not regular. Let p be the pumping length.

1. We pick $w = 0^p 1^p$ and must show that

- $w \in \{0^n 1^n \mid \forall n \colon n \geq 0\}$, which holds by replacing n by p.
- $|w| \geq p$, which holds since $|w| = 2p \geq p$.



Theorem $L_1 = \{0^n 1^n \mid orall n \colon n \geq 0\}$ is not regular.

Proof. We prove that the language above does not satisfy the pumping property, thus the^{boston}</sup> **language is not regular.** Let p be the pumping length.

1. We pick $w=0^p1^p$ and must show that

• $w \in \{0^n 1^n \mid \forall n \colon n \geq 0\}$, which holds by replacing n by p.

- $\circ |w| \geq p$, which holds since $|w| = 2p \geq p$.
- 2. Finally, given some x,y,z our assumptions are (H1) w=xyz, (H2) $|xy|\leq p$, and (H3) |y|>0, we must prove that

 $\exists i, xy^iz
otin L_1$

(We write in red what you need to prove)

Proof. (Continuation...)

Let a+b=p, where $xy=0^a$ and $a,b\in\mathcal{N}_0$ (non-negative).

We can rewrite (H1) w = xyz such that

$$(H_1) \quad w = \underbrace{0^p 1^p}_{xyz} = \underbrace{0^a \ 0^b 1^{a+b}}_{xy}$$



UMASS BOSTON

Proof. (Continuation...)

Let a+b=p, where $xy=0^a$ and $a,b\in\mathcal{N}_0$ (non-negative).

We can rewrite (H1) w = xyz such that

$$(H_1) \quad w = \underbrace{0^p 1^p}_{xyz} = \underbrace{0^a \ 0^b 1^{a+b}}_{xy}$$

Or, simply,

$$(H_1) \quad \underbrace{ \overset{0^a}{\underbrace{ xy} \overset{0^b}{\underbrace{ z}}}_{xy} \overset{a+b}{\underbrace{ z}} = \underbrace{ \overset{0^{|xy|}}{\underbrace{ xy} \overset{0^b}{\underbrace{ z}}}_{xy} \overset{1^{|xy|+b}}{\underbrace{ z}}$$

Proof. (Continuation...) We pick i=2, so our goal is to show that



$$\underbrace{0^{|xyy|}_{xyy}}_{xyy}\underbrace{0^b1^{|xy|+b}}_{z}
otin \{0^n1^n\mid orall n\colon n\geq 0\}$$

UMASS

Proof. (Continuation...) We pick i = 2, so our goal is to show that

$$\underbrace{0^{|xyy|}_{xyy}}_{xyy}\underbrace{0^{b}1^{|xy|+b}}_{z}
otin \{0^{n}1^{n}\mid orall n\colon n\geq 0\}$$

Thus, it is equivalent to show that

$$|xyy|+b
eq |xy|+b$$

We can simplify it with,

Proof. (Continuation...) We pick i=2, so our goal is to show that



$$\underbrace{0^{|xyy|}_{xyy}}_{xyy}\underbrace{0^{b}1^{|xy|+b}}_{z}
otin \{0^{n}1^{n}\mid orall n\colon n\geq 0\}$$

Thus, it is equivalent to show that

$$|xyy|+b
eq |xy|+b$$

We can simplify it with,

$$|xyy|+b-(|xy|+b)\neq |xy|+b-(|xy|+b)$$

And,

Proof. (Continuation...) We pick i=2, so our goal is to show that



$$\underbrace{0^{|xyy|}_{xyy}}_{xyy}\underbrace{0^b1^{|xy|+b}}_z
otin \{0^n1^n\mid orall n\colon n\geq 0\}$$

Thus, it is equivalent to show that

$$|xyy|+b
eq |xy|+b$$

We can simplify it with,

$$|xyy|+b-(|xy|+b)
eq |xy|+b-(|xy|+b)$$

And,

|y|
eq 0

Which is trivially true since (H3) |y|>0

$\{w \mid w \text{ has as many 0's as 1's}\}$ is not regular

UMASS

1. Adversary: picks p such that $p \geq 0$



- 1. Adversary: picks p such that $p \geq 0$
- 2. You: Let us pick the same w as before $0^p 1^p \in A$ and $|w| \geq p$ (trivially holds)



- 1. Adversary: picks p such that $p \geq 0$
- 2. You: Let us pick the same w as before $0^p1^p \in A$ and $|w| \geq p$ (trivially holds)
- 3. Adversary: decomposes w in xyz such that:

|y|>0 and $|xy|\leq p$



- 1. Adversary: picks p such that $p \geq 0$
- 2. You: Let us pick the same w as before $0^p1^p \in A$ and $|w| \geq p$ (trivially holds)
- 3. Adversary: decomposes w in xyz such that:
 - |y|>0 and $|xy|\leq p$
- 4. You: Let us pick i = 2:
 - $i\geq 0$ (trivially holds)

- 1. Adversary: picks p such that $p\geq 0$
- 2. You: Let us pick the same w as before $0^p1^p \in A$ and $|w| \geq p$ (trivially holds)
- 3. Adversary: decomposes w in xyz such that:

|y|>0 and $|xy|\leq p$

- 4. You: Let us pick i=2:
 - $i\geq 0$ (trivially holds)
- 5. **Goal: You:** show that $xyyz \notin A$

Why?

- We are responsible for picking *w*, which is the hardest part of the problem.
- By picking $0^p 1^p$, we replicate the proof we did in the previous exercise!



Theorem $L_2 = \{w \mid w \text{ has as many 0's as 1's} \}$ is not regular

Proof. We prove that the language above does not satisfy the pumping property, thus the language is not regular. Let p be the pumping length.

1. We pick $w=0^p1^p$ and must show that

 $\circ w \in L_2$, which holds since there are p 0's and p 1's.

- $|w| \geq p$, which holds since $|w| = 2p \geq p$.
- 2. Finally, given some x,y,z our assumptions are (H1) w=xyz, (H2) $|xy|\leq p$, and (H3) |y|>0, we must prove that

 $\exists i, xy^iz
otin L_2$

(We write in red what you need to prove)

Proof. (Continuation...)



Let p = a + b and |xy| = a. We pick i = 2 and show that

$$\underbrace{0^a}_{xy}\underbrace{0^{|y|}}_{y}\underbrace{0^b1^{a+b}}_{z}
otin \{w \mid orall n : n ext{ has as many 0's as 1's} \}$$

Proof. (Continuation...) Let p = a + b and |xy| = a. We pick i = 2 and show that

$$\underbrace{0^a}_{xy}\underbrace{0^{|y|}}_{y}\underbrace{0^b1^{a+b}}_{z}
otin \{w \mid orall n: n ext{ has as many 0's as 1's} \}$$

The goal below is equivalent:

$$a+|y|+b
eq a+b$$



Proof. (Continuation...) Let p=a+b and |xy|=a. We pick i=2 and show that

$$\underbrace{0^a}_{xy}\underbrace{0^{|y|}}_{y}\underbrace{0^b1^{a+b}}_{z}
otin \{w \mid orall n\colon n ext{ has as many 0's as 1's}\}$$

The goal below is equivalent:

$$a+|y|+b
eq a+b$$

And can be simplified to

|y|
eq 0



UMASS BOSTON

Let p = a + b and |xy| = a. We pick i = 2 and show that

$$\underbrace{0^a}_{xy} \underbrace{0^{|y|}}_{y} \underbrace{0^b 1^{a+b}}_{z} \notin \{w \mid orall n ext{ has as many 0's as 1's} \}$$

The goal below is equivalent:

$$a+|y|+b
eq a+b$$

And can be simplified to

Proof. (Continuation...)

|y|
eq 0

Which is given by the hypothesis that |y| > 0.

$\{0^j1^k \mid j>k\}$ is not regular

Theorem: $A = \{0^j 1^k \mid j > k\}$ is not regular Proof idea



1. Adversary: picks p such that $p \geq 0$



Theorem: $A = \{0^j 1^k \mid j > k\}$ is not regular Proof idea

- 1. Adversary: picks p such that $p \geq 0$
- 2. You: Let us pick $w=0^{p+1}1^p$ $0^{p+1}1^p\in A$ and $|w|\geq p$ (trivially holds)
- 3. Adversary: decomposes w in xyz such that:

|y|>0 and $|xy|\leq p$

Theorem: $A = \{0^j 1^k \mid j > k\}$ is not regular Proof idea

- 1. Adversary: picks p such that $p \geq 0$
- 2. You: Let us pick $w=0^{p+1}1^p$ $0^{p+1}1^p\in A$ and $|w|\geq p$ (trivially holds)
- 3. Adversary: decomposes w in xyz such that: |y|>0 and $|xy|\leq p$
- 4. You: Let us pick i = 0:
 - $i\geq 0$ (trivially holds)
- 5. Goal: You: show that $xz \notin A$

Why?

- Ultimately, our goal is to show that $w \notin A$, thus that the exponent of 1 smaller or equal than the exponent of 0.
- Since the loop always appears on the left-hand side of the string, we should pick the smallest exponent possible that uses p and still $w \in A$. Thus, we pick $0^{p+1}1^p$.



1. We pick $w=0^{p+1}1^p\in A$. Let |xy|+b=p. We have $|xy|\leq p$ and that $w=0^{p+1}1^p$.

1. We pick $w=0^{p+1}1^p\in A$. Let |xy|+b=p. We have $|xy|\leq p$ and that $w=0^{p+1}1^p$. 2. We pick i=0 and show that

 $xz
otin\{0^j1^k\mid j>k\}$

1. We pick $w=0^{p+1}1^p\in A$. Let |xy|+b=p. We have $|xy|\leq p$ and that $w=0^{p+1}1^p$. 2. We pick i=0 and show that

$$xz
otin \{0^j 1^k \mid j > k\}$$

3. Thus,

$$0^{|xy|-|y|+b+1}1^{|xy|+b}
otin \{0^j1^k \mid j>k\}$$

1. We pick $w=0^{p+1}1^p\in A$. Let |xy|+b=p. We have $|xy|\leq p$ and that $w=0^{p+1}1^p$. 2. We pick i=0 and show that

$$xz
otin \{0^j 1^k \mid j > k\}$$

3. Thus,

$$0^{|xy|-|y|+b+1}1^{|xy|+b}
otin \{0^j1^k\mid j>k\}$$

4. So, we have to show that

$$egin{aligned} |xy|-|y|+b+1 &\leq |xy|+b\ |x|+1 &\leq |xy|\ y| &\geq 1 \quad ext{which holds, since} |y| > 0 \end{aligned}$$